

Review of the use of passive diffusion tubes for measuring concentrations of nitrogen dioxide in air.

RMP 2125 variation

J. N. Cape

*Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (Edinburgh Research Station)
Bush Estate, Penicuik, Midlothian, EH26 0QB, UK.*

December 2005

Client: Defra	
Client Project number: RMP2258	CEH Project number: C02379 (2)
Project Title: Acid Deposition Processes – variation NO ₂ diffusion tubes	
Start date: 1/1/05	Completion date: 31/12/05
Client Project Officer: Samantha Baker	
CEH Project Officer: J Neil Cape	
Main authors: J Neil Cape	
Report approved for release by	
Reporting period: 1/1/05 to 31/12/05	
Report date: December 2005	
Report Number: AS 05/24	
Report Status: submitted	

This report is a confidential document prepared under contract between the Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC). It should not be distributed or quoted without the permission of both the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH) and Defra.

Executive Summary

1. Passive diffusion tubes for measuring NO₂ concentrations in air were originally developed in the late 1970s for personal monitoring. They have been widely used in Europe for spatial and temporal measurement of NO₂ concentrations. The method is cheap, simple, and provides concentration data in most circumstances that are sufficiently accurate for assessing exposure and compliance with Air Quality criteria.
2. Passive diffusion tubes of standard design show a large positive bias when sampling close to sources of NO, e.g. at kerbside or in street canyons, and so provide an upper limit to the actual NO₂ concentration at such sites.
3. If care is taken in selecting an exposure site that is free from excessive wind turbulence, weather conditions have a small and to some extent predictable influence on the sampling rate of the tubes, permitting absolute concentrations to be calculated from the geometry of the sampler.
4. The use of triethanolamine as an absorbent leads to significant negative bias in cold dry weather. Samplers are also prone to negative bias for exposure periods longer than one week.
5. Inter-laboratory comparisons have shown a clear need for standardisation of methods. Although reproducibility among laboratories has improved, this is still the largest source of uncertainty in the use of passive diffusion tubes for measuring NO₂ concentrations.
6. Validation experiments in the laboratory and in the outdoor environment are summarised and reviewed. Studies show variations in response relative to automatic analyzers. Many of these variations can be explained in terms of differences in design and operation of the samplers.
7. Monitoring studies using passive diffusion tubes for NO₂ concentrations in the UK and elsewhere are tabulated, with brief descriptions of the locations covered.
8. Recommendations are made for standardisation of tube construction and operation in order to minimise variation among different operators.

Contents

Executive Summary.....	3
Contents.....	4
1. Introduction.....	5
2. Theory.....	5
2.1 The Coefficient of Molecular Diffusion, D.....	6
2.2 The absorber is perfect.....	7
2.3 The absorbed gas can be measured quantitatively.....	7
2.4 There is no absorption or adsorption by the tube walls.....	7
2.5 No chemical reactions occur in the tube that would affect the NO ₂ concentration during sampling.....	8
2.6 The concentration is maintained constant at the entrance to the tube.....	9
2.7 Conclusions.....	9
3. The role of meteorological conditions during sampling.....	9
3.1 Windspeed.....	9
Conclusion.....	11
3.2 Temperature.....	12
Conclusion.....	13
3.3 Humidity.....	13
Conclusion.....	14
4. The role of the absorbent medium and subsequent analysis of trapped NO ₂	14
4.1 Triethanolamine.....	14
Conclusion.....	16
4.2 Interferences.....	16
Conclusion.....	17
4.3 Ion Chromatography.....	17
Conclusion.....	18
5. Diffusion tube construction and use.....	18
5.1 Tube preparation.....	18
Conclusion.....	20
5.2 Tube storage.....	20
Conclusion.....	21
5.3 Tube extraction.....	21
Conclusion.....	21
5.4 Variations in tube design.....	21
Conclusion.....	23
5.5 Other designs of passive samplers.....	23
6. Validation of diffusion tube methods.....	25
6.1 Laboratory comparisons.....	25
6.2 Indoor comparisons.....	25
6.3 Outdoor comparisons.....	26
Conclusion.....	32
7. Use of passive diffusion tubes for NO ₂ concentration monitoring.....	33
8. Conclusions.....	35
9. Recommendations.....	36
9.1 Development of existing network.....	36
9.2 Improvements in accuracy.....	37
10. References.....	38

1. Introduction

Since their introduction in the late 1970s for measuring personal exposure to nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) (Palmes et al., 1976), passive diffusion tubes have been used as an inexpensive method for sampling NO₂ concentrations in air over periods from a few days to a few weeks. Their main advantages are the lack of requirement for electrical power, the low cost of the materials, the simplicity of the analytical procedure, and the provision of an absolute air concentration that (in principle) does not require calibration.

The use of passive diffusion tubes for air sampling, in the workplace, in homes, as a personal sampler, or outdoors, is not restricted to NO₂ but has been applied to a wide range of organic and inorganic gases (Brown, 1993; Brown et al., 1984; Cox, 2003; Krupa and Legge, 2000; Monn, 2001). For NO₂ the major issues concerning the use of Palmes-type passive diffusion samplers were reviewed over a decade ago (Gerboles and Amantini, 1993), and although some of the problems identified have been more fully explored since then (Tang et al., 2001), there remain several issues that are of concern to regulatory and other agencies who wish a simple, cheap yet effective method for measuring time-averaged NO₂ concentrations. The state-of-the-art has been briefly reviewed (for the United Kingdom) by the Air Quality Expert Group (AQEG, 2004). In Appendix 2 of that report factors affecting diffusion tube performance are summarised and reviewed, and consequent recommendations for the use of diffusion tubes are made in section 4.3.2, in particular the need for specific, validated procedures to control the uncertainties in the measurement methods with the aim of providing long-term average NO₂ concentration data with an uncertainty within $\pm 20\%$.

2. Theory

The Palmes diffusion tube for passive sampling of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) was originally developed as a personal monitor for the workplace (Palmes et al., 1976). The principle of operation was described in some detail in the original paper, which noted the assumptions made in calculating the theoretical sampling rate. For a cylindrical tube diameter d and length l , with a perfect sink (absorber) at one end, Fick's law of diffusion can be used to calculate a theoretical sampling rate (rate of net flow of a gas along the tube) as:

$$F = - D \cdot \pi d^2 / 4l$$

where F is the sampling rate ($\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$)

D is the molecular diffusion coefficient of NO₂ in air ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$)

For a given NO₂ concentration of $C \text{ g m}^{-3}$, the mass of NO₂ trapped at the (perfect) sink is then $F \cdot C \text{ g s}^{-1}$ (Figure 1).

The assumptions made in determining a theoretical sampling rate are:

- D is known for NO₂ in air, along with its dependence on temperature, pressure, humidity (i.e. presence of water vapour)
- The absorber is perfect
- The absorbed gas can be measured quantitatively

- There is no absorption or adsorption by the tube walls
- No chemical reactions occur in the tube during sampling that would affect the NO₂ concentration
- The concentration is maintained constant at the entrance to the tube

If all these assumptions are valid, or are sufficiently good that the error introduced is small, then the geometry of the tube (l and d) gives an absolute sampling rate, i.e. the passive sampler does not require calibration.

Each of these assumptions has to be examined in order to evaluate the conditions under which the passive sampler will provide a reliable long-term average estimate of the air concentration of NO₂.




Figure 1: diagram of Palmes-type diffusion sampler

2.1 The Coefficient of Molecular Diffusion, D

In the original paper (Palmes et al., 1976), the diffusion coefficient for NO₂ in air was given as:

$$D = 0.001858 T^{1.5} [(M_1 + M_2) / M_1 M_2]^{0.5} / P \sigma_{12}^2 \Omega_D$$

where M_1 and M_2 are the molecular masses of gases 1 and 2,
 σ_{12} is the Lennard-Jones force constant for the mixture
 Ω_D is the collision integral

This gave a value for D of $1.54 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 21.1 °C (70 °F) and 1 atm pressure.

More recently, the molecular diffusion coefficient of NO₂ (and other gases) has been reviewed (Massman, 1998). The value for NO₂ has never been directly measured, partly because of its dimerisation to N₂O₄ (important at higher concentrations than found in ambient air). The preferred value for NO₂ in air, with an uncertainty of $\pm 10\%$, is $1.36 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 0 °C and 1 atm. Correcting to 21.1 °C using the temperature factor $(T/T_0)^{1.81}$ as recommended by Massman (1998), rather than using the exponent of 1.5 used by Palmes et al. (1976), gives a value for D_{294} of $1.56 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (cf. $1.54 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$).

The effects of water vapour in the atmosphere on the diffusion of NO₂ is negligible (Gerboles and Amantini, 1993).

The temperature dependence of D varies as $(T/T_0)^{1.81}$, implying a fairly large variation with temperature. However, the mass (g) of NO₂ collected by the sampler depends on the product of D and the gas concentration in air (g m^{-3}). For a given mixing ratio (partial pressure) of NO₂ in air, the mass per unit volume changes as T^{-1} , so if monitoring results are expressed as a mixing ratio (ppbV) then the temperature dependence varies as $(T/T_0)^{0.81}$, equivalent to a 3% change in D per 10 °C change in temperature at $T=15$ °C.

For a typical 'Palmer' tube of length 71 mm and internal diameter 9.5 mm, the sampling rate corresponds to $0.92 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ($55 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$) at 21 °C.

Attempts to calculate the sampling rate from first principles, while instructive in terms of the relative concentrations along the sampling tube, have not reproduced either the theoretical or measured sampling rates (Palmer et al., 1986), but have been used to estimate that an NO₂ molecule spends about 27 s in the tube prior to capture by the sorbent (Burton et al., 1988). This time is shorter than the residence time calculated from the diffusion coefficient (D) of 2.8 min (168 s) (Heal and Cape, 1997).

2.2 The absorber is perfect

Consideration of triethanolamine as an absorbent is dealt with in detail in section 4, but there is no evidence to suggest anything other than 100% efficiency except at very low temperatures or humidities.

2.3 The absorbed gas can be measured quantitatively

This assumption is discussed in detail in section 4, and relies on knowledge (or assumptions) about the chemical reactions occurring in the absorption process, and the possibility of chemical changes in the trapped material during sampling and/or storage prior to analysis. Interference from gases other than NO₂ is discussed in section 4.2.

2.4 There is no absorption or adsorption by the tube walls

One early study in a chamber experiment (Miller, 1988) observed sampling rates that were lower than the theoretical rates, and increasing blank levels with increasing storage time of prepared tubes. The author ascribed these results to adsorption of NO₂ on the acrylic walls; desorption from the walls of previously exposed tubes during storage was postulated to have caused the increase in blank levels. Soaking the tubes in 1M NaOH prior to use led to no increase in blank levels on storage, but loss of 70% of the expected NO₂ on exposure in a laboratory chamber, presumably because of adsorption on the cleaned (and possibly activated) walls. Stainless steel tubes were suggested as alternatives, but these gave a consistent unexplained high bias. Solubility of NO₂ in the plastic used to construct the sampling tubes was suggested as a source of contamination of blank tubes (Shooter et al., 1997). Long-term (week or more) exposure in the field is likely to show little net effect of transient adsorption and desorption from walls provided that the walls themselves have not been 'activated' as above. However, desorption may be a source of noise for blank tubes, leading to uncertainty under conditions when very low ambient concentrations are being measured.

A different approach (Kasper-Giebl and Puxbaum, 1999) used polythene tubes, which were cut into pieces after exposure, or disassembled, before extraction and analysis. Less than 5% of the total trapped NO₂ was found on the tube walls (unlike SO₂ or NO₃⁻, which showed significant adsorption on the walls), 80% was found on the triethanolamine-coated grids, and 15% in or on the cap. It is possible that some of the NO₃⁻ found on the tube walls could have come from oxidation *in situ* of adsorbed NO₂, but was ascribed to capture of fine NO₃⁻ particles and/or nitric acid.

2.5 No chemical reactions occur in the tube that would affect the NO₂ concentration during sampling

The possibility of chemical reaction in the tube during sampling was first addressed as early as 1986 (Atkins et al., 1986), but dismissed as likely to be small. The most likely interfering reaction is between NO and O₃ to give NO₂. In the atmosphere in daylight a balance is set up between the reaction of NO with O₃ to produce NO₂ and the photolysis of NO₂ in UV light to give NO and O₃. This ‘photostationary equilibrium’ is in fact rarely at equilibrium in the dynamic atmosphere of a city, or in cloudy weather where sunlight intensity changes on a timescale of minutes. Within an acrylic tube (the standard Palmes design) light levels are greatly attenuated (Heal and Cape, 1997) so that the ‘equilibrium’ is disturbed; NO₂ is not photolysed, but NO continues to react with O₃ within the tube. This becomes important because the time scale of residence of molecules in the sampling tube (minutes) is the same as for the reaction between NO and O₃ at typical concentrations. If one considers only the lack of photolysis, then this effect is rather small (Atkins et al., 1986; Bush et al., 2001a). However, in urban areas close to emissions of NO, air entering the tube is rarely at photochemical equilibrium because of the need for polluted air to be mixed with ozone-rich air before NO₂ can be formed. Explicit consideration of the disequilibrium close to sources of NO leads to modelled estimates of ‘over-reading’ compared with continuous analyzers that are much greater (up to 40%) (Heal and Cape, 1997). This non-equilibrium model has been shown to provide excellent agreement with comparisons of diffusion tubes and continuous analyzers in cities, close to NO sources (Heal et al., 2000), and is a major source of uncertainty in the use of passive diffusion tubes of the Palmes design close to roads. The greatest uncertainty was shown to occur when NO₂ makes up 50% of the total NO_x concentration.

In field studies, the use of tubes of different lengths has also indicated the role of within-tube chemistry. Effective sampling rates (corrected for tube length) should be the same for all tube lengths – within-tube chemistry would be expected to increase the effective sampling rate with length because of increased residence time in the tube, and this has been observed (Ayers et al., 1998). The effect of wind speed on different lengths of tube would be to decrease the relative sampling rate as tube length increased (see below, section 3.1). Further experiments, using quartz and acrylic tubes of different lengths (Kirby, 2001) demonstrated the importance of within-tube chemistry to the effective sampling rate; quartz tubes, which transmit UV light and permit NO₂ photolysis during sampling, gave significantly smaller concentrations than acrylic tubes of the same length, and were in much better agreement with co-located automatic samplers.

Badge-type samplers, with much shorter diffusion path lengths, do not suffer from this artefact because the residence time of molecules within the tube is much shorter, but they are subject to other sampling problems, as discussed below (Section 2.6).

2.6 The concentration is maintained constant at the entrance to the tube

This assumption covers two types of variation: systematic depletion of NO₂ concentrations caused by uptake within the tube, and the departure from steady-state conditions (under which the theory was developed) in the real atmosphere. Depletion caused by uptake within the tube is not important for the Palmes design of sampler; the depth of the depleted boundary layer at the entrance to the tube has been calculated as < 1.5 mm at wind speeds of > 0.6 m s⁻¹ (Hofschreuder et al., 1999). Badge type samplers with much faster sampling rates (shorter diffusion distances) have much greater uncertainties, because the depleted zone at the entrance to the tube is deeper and more dependent on wind speed, and this depth is a much greater proportion of the diffusion length within the sampler.

The assumptions made in deriving the theoretical sampling rate (of constant concentration at the entry to the tube) have been shown to be valid (Hori and Tanaka, 1993) provided that the characteristic fluctuation time is 40% longer than the residence time in the tube (2.8 min for NO₂ (Heal and Cape, 1997)). This may not hold for kerb-side sampling. In the simplest case, where there is no chemical reaction in the tube, application of a sinusoidal variation in external concentrations changed the theoretical sampling rate by less than 1% unless peak concentrations lasted less than 25 minutes, when a 5% greater sampling rate was predicted. A typical worst-case scenario was predicted to have a bias of around +2% over 2 weeks (Plaisance, 2004).

The uncertainty in the effective tube length caused by wind-induced turbulence has been explored in some detail; the results are described below (section 3.1).

2.7 Conclusions

Most of the original assumptions are met in practice to within a few percent, except under certain well-defined conditions. The major exception is the assumption of no chemical reaction within the tube during sampling, which in turn is influenced by the assumption of constant concentrations at the entrance to the tube. This assumption can lead to systematic positive bias (over-read) of up to 40% in conditions where NO and O₃ concentrations are not in equilibrium, i.e. close to dynamic sources of NO, such as at kerb sides or in street canyons.

3. The role of meteorological conditions during sampling

3.1 Windspeed

The original design (Palmes et al., 1976) was tested for the effects of wind speed in the laboratory using tubes set at 5 angles and 5 distances along a rotating rod, to give exposures in the range 103-515 ft/min (0.52 to 2.62 m/s). The experiments were done using water vapour rather than NO₂. As expected, higher wind speeds led to higher sampling rates, with the smallest increase in tubes at 180° to the wind direction (away from the wind); those mounted at right angles gave sampling rates that were higher than in still air by 6-20%. Over all angles, the average sampling rate increased by 3% for an increase in wind speed of 0.05 m s⁻¹ (Palmes and Tomczyk, 1979). More recent chamber studies showed a dependence on wind speed represented by:

$$\text{sampling rate (cm}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}\text{)} = -11 Ws^2 + 54 Ws + 63.6,$$

where Ws is in the range 0.1 to 2.5 m s⁻¹,

compared with a theoretical sampling rate of 72.8 cm³ h⁻¹ for the conditions used (Plaisance et al., 2004). This is equivalent to an increase in sampling rate of 104 % between 0 and 2.5 m s⁻¹. The effect of wind speed on sampling rate has also been quantified in a laboratory factorial experiment looking at the effects of wind speed (0.8 or 3.6 m s⁻¹), temperature and humidity on sampling rate (Buzica et al., 2005). The best fit to the data was given by:

$$\text{sampling rate (ng ppb}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}\text{)} = 7.40 \times 10^{-4} + 5.81 \times 10^{-4} Ws \text{ (m s}^{-1}\text{)} + 2.72 \times 10^{-5} T \text{ (}^\circ\text{C)} + 1.43 \times 10^{-5} \text{ RH (\%)}.$$

For conditions of 20 °C and 75% RH, this is equivalent to an increase in sampling rate from 0.0024 to 0.0038 ng ppb⁻¹ min⁻¹ (or 60%) between 0 and 2.5 m s⁻¹.

Exposure of tubes of different lengths (35, 50 and 71 mm) to NO₂ over 4 days in a wind tunnel at different angles to the air flow, at wind speeds up to 12 m s⁻¹, showed that the effective reduction in tube length was around 10 mm at 1.5 m s⁻¹ rising to 30 mm at 12 m s⁻¹ (Hargreaves, 1989). These results showed that the effective shortening of the tube was the same, irrespective of tube length, and therefore attributable to turbulence around the tube entrance. The effect was greater for tubes mounted at 75° to the flow than perpendicular to the flow. More recently, laboratory experiments have shown measured concentrations increasing slightly with increasing wind speed (Moriske et al., 1996b). The use of a protective shelter to house replicate diffusion tubes greatly reduced the effects of wind speed (Hangartner, 2001) in a laboratory experiment at wind speeds up to 4.5 m s⁻¹, emphasising the need to know the actual velocity of the wind (and turbulence conditions) at the entrance to the tube, rather than a measured wind speed at some point near the exposure site.

In the field, the comparison between results obtained using diffusion tubes and using automatic monitors has been evaluated in terms of wind speed measured at the site. However, measurements of wind speed are rarely, if ever, made at the face of the sample tubes, but at some point (usually unspecified) nearby. Therefore, the relationship (if any) between mean wind speed at a site and effective sampling rate is difficult to discern. Some authors have recognised this problem, and have estimated the wind speed at the height of the samplers from measurements at 10 m (Buzica et al., 2005) in an effort to relate the sampling results to actual wind speeds at the point of sampling.

The use of different tube lengths outdoors (Hargreaves, 1989) showed no effects of mean wind speed in the range 2.3 to 4.5 m s⁻¹; tubes of different length (35, 50, 71 mm) gave identical concentrations, implying that the effective sampling lengths were as measured, with no shortening caused by differences in wind speed. A similar experiment was conducted several years later using tubes of 6 different lengths mounted on a roof (Gair and Penkett, 1995). The results were noisy (despite a precision for triplicate tubes of 3%) suggesting complex turbulence conditions at that particular (rooftop) site. There was a small but not statistically significant effect of increasing wind speed in the range 2 to 6.5 m s⁻¹ on sampling rates; all tubes sampled

with an effective diffusion length that was on average 18 mm less than the measured tube length. The use of grids with a 2 mm mesh across the tube entrance removed the effects of wind-induced turbulence on the diffusion length, but reduced the overall sampling rate below the theoretical value, so that the sampling rate would have had to be calibrated for quantitative use. In their modelling of the situation, the authors took no account of chemical reactions inside the tube during sampling, which may have affected the apparent sampling rates outdoors. More recent experiments outdoors with tubes of different lengths and of different materials (acrylic vs. quartz) (Kirby, 2001) showed no effect of diffusion length for quartz samplers at 120 or 71 mm, implying no effect of wind shortening, although enhanced sampling attributed to wind shortening was observed for the shortest diffusion length (40 mm). The difference between the different tube materials was dependent on tube length. The authors concluded that the main effect of tube length was on chemical processes occurring inside the tube (see above, Section 2.5) rather than on effective sampling rate influenced by wind speed, with the exception of the shortest tube, where interference from the sample holder or length:diameter ratio were suggested as reasons for the apparent breakdown of a simple diffusion process.

Several studies have considered the possible effects of mean wind speed during a sampling period on the comparison between diffusion tube results and an automatic monitor. (Atkins et al., 1986) saw no effect of wind speed in the range 1.0 to 4.5 m s⁻¹ for 1 week exposure of Palmes tubes. A similar lack of correlation with wind speed has been reported by other authors for outdoor samplers (Hangartner, 2001; Kirby, 2001), although unsheltered diffusion tubes have shown larger (up to 18%) apparent sampling rates than adjacent sheltered tubes (Bush et al., 2001b; Smith et al., 1998), which may be an effect of wind speed or turbulence. Similar lower sampling rates in sheltered tubes compared with adjacent unsheltered tubes have been noted in other studies (Buzica et al., 2005). The use of some type of shelter, from rain, direct sunlight and/or wind turbulence, is fairly commonplace (Gonzales et al., 2005; Hangartner, 2001) although the relative effects of sheltering from direct sunlight and wind have not been explicitly considered. Some authors advise against using a shelter on the grounds that it alters the chemical composition of the sampled air through shading (Kirby, 2001).

Effects of wind speed are much more important for badge type samplers with very short diffusion paths. Although this type of sampler gives much faster sampling rates, the use of membranes or grids at the face of the sampler, to minimise effects of wind-induced shortening, means that diffusion (i.e. sampling) rates cannot be deduced from first principles, and each design must be calibrated. Alternatively, samplers of two different lengths must be exposed simultaneously (de Santis et al., 1997). Sampling rates for some designs are dependent on temperature, relative humidity and wind speed (Gerboles et al., 2000). The much faster sampling rate also means increased depletion in the air around the face of the sampler if not replenished by external air movement; required wind speeds to prevent depletion are typically at least 0.6 m s⁻¹ (Ballesta et al., 1993; de Santis et al., 1997).

Conclusion

Effects of wind speed or turbulence that are demonstrated in exposure chambers or wind tunnels in the laboratory are not observed under field conditions. This is probably because the turbulence conditions in the laboratory are measured at the

mouth of the tube, whereas in the field wind speeds are measured at some distance (horizontally and/or vertically) from the tube opening. Both wind speed and degree of turbulence at the tube entrance may be very different from the reported field measurements, and may be very much smaller than those recorded, especially if tubes are mounted in a shelter. Actual face velocities in the field have not been measured, and are probably not predictable, given the complexity of the air flow around most installations. Several authors note reduced sampling rates when tubes are placed in a shelter compared to non-sheltered tubes exposed nearby – provided this does not lead to local depletion through air stagnation it would appear that routine use of a shelter is likely to lead to a lower risk of positive bias caused by turbulence.

3.2 Temperature

The theoretical effects of temperature on sampler performance can be separated into effects on the diffusion coefficient (D), and on the units of gas phase concentration (g m^{-3} , which is T dependent, or ppbV , which is not). As shown above (Section 2.1), this leads to a dependence of the sampling rate on $T^{1.81}$ or $T^{0.81}$ for concentrations measured in g m^{-3} or ppbV , respectively (Massman, 1998).

However, experimental measurements of sampling rate as a function of temperature have sometimes shown a much greater T dependence. One of the earliest tests of the Palmes design showed a 15% decrease in sampling rate between 27 °C and 15 °C, compared with a theoretical change of < 2%. This was ascribed to a phase change from solid to liquid in the absorbent (triethanolamine) at 21 °C (Girman et al., 1983). However, the role of the melting point of TEA was challenged by a later study (Kirby et al., 2000), which showed that TEA solutions did not freeze, but formed a gel even at temperatures as low as -10 °C. The role of triethanolamine (TEA) as an absorbent is discussed below (Section 4.1). The effectiveness of TEA as an absorbent appears to be dependent on humidity, and some of the reported effects of temperature on sampling rate may be confounded by simultaneous changes in absolute humidity (Moschandreas et al., 1990) leading to low effective sampling rates at low temperatures that are caused by a lack of water vapour rather than low temperatures *per se* (Moriske et al., 1996b; Sickles et al., 1990; Tang et al., 1999). One laboratory study (designed to evaluate Palmes tubes for use in Greenland) showed almost constant sampling rates from 20 °C down to -8 °C, then a linear decrease to around 30% of the constant rate, at -28 °C (Hansen et al., 2001). Another laboratory study found no temperature dependence between 5 and 45 °C (Hangartner, 2001), while another study found an effect of extremes of T and relative humidity on sampling rates, and low sampling rates in the field in winter (by comparison with an automatic monitor) (Buzica et al., 2003).

For short-path ‘badge’ type samplers the effectiveness of the absorbent may be limited by the rate of diffusion in the liquid phase (Krochmal and Górski, 1991), but this is unlikely to be an issue for the Palmes type, which has much slower sampling rates. Comparisons of a short path sampler with an active sampler suggest a dependence on T of around 1% per °C (Yamada et al., 1999). Different designs of sampler have different temperature responses, which must be characterised before they can be used (Gerboles et al., 2000).

High temperatures during storage after sampling may also lead to losses or reductions in the apparent sampling rate for NO₂ (de Santis et al., 2000) – see Section 5.2.

Conclusion

The dependence of sampling rate on temperature is small and predictable, except in cold dry air. This deviation from theory is related to the use of triethanolamine as the absorbent and appears to be caused by a lack of sufficient water vapour to ensure quantitative conversion of trapped NO₂ to NO₂⁻ ions.

3.3 Humidity

The effects of humidity on sampling rates appear to be related to the use of triethanolamine (TEA) as an absorbent for NO₂, rather than any effect on the diffusion process. Most laboratory studies have used relative humidity (RH) as a measure of water vapour concentration, rather than absolute humidity, which may be more important. Early studies showed no effect of RH on a short path sampler between 20 and 60% at room temperature (Cadoff and Hodgeson, 1983). This was confirmed by subsequent studies with RH > 20% at ambient temperatures (Hagenbjörk-Gustafsson et al., 1999; Hangartner, 2001). Studies with Palmes tubes between 5 and 85% RH at room temperatures (above 22 °C) showed a weak linear dependence of the sampling rate (expressed as changes in the diffusion coefficient) given by (Boleij et al., 1986):

$$D \text{ (m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}\text{)} = 1.20 \times 10^{-5} + 3.8 \times 10^{-8} \text{ RH}(\%)$$

This is equivalent to an 18% change in uptake rate between 20 and 80% RH.

More recent studies have demonstrated a dependence on absolute humidity, expressed in terms of the uptake rate for a membrane-capped tube (Gerboles et al., 2005):

$$\text{Uptake (cm}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}\text{)} = 42.9 + 8.9 \ln[\text{H}_2\text{O}], \text{ where } [\text{H}_2\text{O}] \text{ is in g m}^{-3}.$$

This is equivalent to a 23% change in uptake rate between 20 and 80% RH at 20 °C. A small decrease in uptake rate with time over the first week of this experiment was ascribed to loss of H₂O from the absorbent.

Drying induced by lowering the total pressure during sampling also caused reduced sampling efficiency (Palmer and Johnson, 1987). Effects on sampling rate caused by changing pressure (to simulate increasing altitude) would not be expected, based on the theory of diffusional sampling, but observed changes may be attributed to loss of water vapour from the absorbent (TEA), leading to reduced sampling efficiency. This would be consistent with a greater effect of lowering pressure shown using shorter tubes (Lindenboom and Palmer, 1983), from which water would evaporate more quickly.

The effects of variations in absolute humidity on a short path sampler have also been reported, with significant reductions in uptake at low RH at low temperatures (Moschandreas et al., 1990; Tang et al., 1999). More generally, a dependence on RH is an important factor in some passive sampler designs (Gerboles et al., 2000).

Effects of humidity on sampler performance in the field have also been noted (Hangartner, 2001), with uptake rates given by (Buzica et al., 2005):

$$U(\text{ng/ppb/min}) = 7.40 \times 10^{-4} + 2.72 \times 10^{-5} T(^{\circ}\text{C}) + 1.43 \times 10^{-5} \text{ RH}(\%) + 5.81 \times 10^{-4} W \text{ (m/s)}$$

This is equivalent to a change of 17% in uptake rate between 20 and 80% RH at 20 °C and 1 m s⁻¹. If the uptake rate is dependent on absolute humidity, the above equation may not present a true picture of the dependence on T and RH, because RH is a function of water vapour concentration (absolute humidity) and temperature. However, the two equations (one based on [H₂O] and the other on T and RH) provide very similar patterns of variation (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Variation of sampling rate with temperature (T, °C) and relative humidity (RH, %) based on dependence on [H₂O] (Gerboles et al., 2005) – on left) or T and RH (Buzica et al., 2005 – on right). Data are expressed relative to calculated rates at 1 m s⁻¹, 20 °C and 60% RH so that they can be compared visually.

In comparing results from passive diffusion tubes with those from automatic analyzers, however, it is important to note that automatic NO₂ analyzers also have a dependence on humidity, which may not have been allowed for in comparing the response of diffusion tubes relative to automatic methods. A chemiluminescent NO_x analyzer response typically decreases by 0.5% per g H₂O m⁻³ absolute humidity (Plaisance et al., 2004). This is equivalent to a change of 5% between 20 and 80% RH at 20 °C.

Conclusion

Humidity is probably the most important environmental variable that affects the performance of passive diffusion samplers using TEA as absorbent. TEA does not perform quantitatively at low humidities (see Section 4.1). The data of Hansen et al. (2001) suggest a loss of efficiency below -8 °C, equivalent to an air concentration of water vapour of 2.9 g m⁻³ (0.35 kPa), or 35% RH at 5 °C. At any given temperature the effect of a change in relative humidity between 20% and 80% is to change sampling rates by about ± 15% relative to the values at 50% RH. In practice, the dependence on humidity has rarely been tested in the field, and the interaction between humidity and the reaction of TEA with NO₂ has not been investigated systematically.

4. The role of the absorbent medium and subsequent analysis of trapped NO₂

4.1 Triethanolamine

Triethanolamine (2,2',2''-nitrilotriethanol (C₂H₄O)₃N, referred to as TEA) is a hygroscopic pale yellow liquid with a melting point of 21.6 °C. It has been used as an

absorbent for sampling NO₂ since the 1970s, initially in solution in a bubbler or impinger, or in the solid phase on molecular sieve (Blacker, 1973). Its capacity to remove NO₂ from the sampled air is high (90-100%), based on sequential sampling. However, not all of the absorbed NO₂ is necessarily available for chemical analysis as nitrite (NO₂⁻) ion. Several stages in the trapping and analysis process must be identified in order to understand the overall sampling efficiency:

- (1) capacity to remove NO₂ from a sample air stream, as determined by sequential sampling. This is usually 100% efficient unless high NO₂ concentrations or sampling rates exceed the capacity of the absorbent to react;
- (2) reaction with TEA to form a product that liberates NO₂⁻ ions on addition of water. This reflects the stoichiometry of the reaction to form an adduct, and the subsequent reaction of the adduct with water;
- (3) losses of trapped NO₂ during and after sampling and before analysis. These may be through thermal decomposition or photolysis (see below, Section \$\$) but are not likely to be caused by release of NO₂ from the absorbent – application of pressures as low as 0.2 atm led to no losses of trapped NO₂ from TEA (Lindenboom and Palmes, 1983).

There is some uncertainty as to the overall stoichiometry of the process, with early authors suggesting as low as 67% conversion to NO₂⁻, but showing increasing conversion as concentrations decreased, towards 100% conversion at concentrations typical of ambient air (Blacker, 1973; Crecelius and Forweg, 1970; Levaggi et al., 1973). These studies used the colorimetric diazotisation reaction with sulphanilamide and N-(1-naphthyl)-ethylenediamine (NEDA) to detect the NO₂⁻ formed.

The nature of the reaction product, and the conditions under which it forms, appear to be critical. Reacting gram quantities of NO₂ and TEA in aqueous solution gave equimolar amounts of NO₂⁻ and TEA-nitrate (i.e. 50% conversion of NO₂ to NO₂⁻) (Gold, 1977). Similar results were obtained by conducting the reaction in dichloromethane as a solvent, with water present in equimolar concentrations. However, reaction of NO₂ with TEA in dry solvent at -5 °C gave the nitroso ammonium salt. It was suggested that the reaction proceeded *via* N₂O₄, and that concentrations of NO₂ > 10 ppmV would give 50% conversion.

Subsequent studies showed that the humidity was important in determining the reaction pathway, with a higher fraction of NO₂ converted to NO₂⁻ at higher relative humidities (Vinjamoori and Ling, 1981). The decrease in NO₂⁻ production at low RH was ascribed to formation of different products (Palmes and Johnson, 1987). Experiments with TEA supported on silica in a ‘Sep-pak’ used for both active and passive sampling and analysed by ion chromatography (IC) showed 90% formation of NO₂⁻ and 10% formation of NO₃⁻, although overall trapping efficiency in this system was only around 90% (Nishikawa et al., 1986). In a different study using a similar technique the fractions of NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ recovered were 77% and 23% respectively (Hisham and Grosjean, 1990). The use of IC for analysis, while providing information on both NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻, is also subject to problems when using TEA, because of the effects of TEA on eluent pH which can lead to systematic errors in quantifying NO₂⁻

and NO₃⁻ concentrations (Hedley et al., 1994). In this study, the overall conversion of NO₂ to NO₂⁻ was 74%.

The reaction mechanism of NO₂ with TEA has been studied for high NO₂ concentrations (10 ppm) in dry air, and the products formed were identified (after a process of acetylation) as N-nitrosodiethanolamine (Figure 1) (Aoyama and Yashiro, 1983). However, triethanolamine nitrate and triethanolamine nitrite were identified as the major reaction products in a subsequent study, with N₂O₄ suggested as the reactive intermediate, yielding an overall stoichiometry of 0.5 for NO₂ → NO₂⁻ (Li et al., 1999). The role of water in the reaction to produce a stoichiometry of 1 was shown by the production of triethanolamine N-oxide as the major product of reaction of NO₂ with TEA in atmospheric sampling. TEA N-oxide reacts quantitatively to give NO₂⁻ ions (Glasius et al., 1999). However, the presence of liquid water in the trapping medium (TEA solution, 2 g l⁻¹) appears to result in equimolar production of NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ (Wei et al., 2002).

Conclusion

The availability of water is crucial to the way in which TEA reacts with NO₂. Too much water (aqueous solution) yields NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ in equal amounts. Absence of water yields N-nitroso DEA and no NO₂⁻. A mole ratio of H₂O:TEA of around 3.6, which is the amount absorbed by TEA in equilibrium with ambient air at 75% RH and 26 °C (18 g H₂O m⁻³) (Palmer and Johnson, 1987) provides 100% conversion of absorbed and reacted NO₂ to NO₂⁻. As noted above (Section 3) the sudden decrease in sampling efficiency below -8 °C (Hansen et al., 2001) suggests that a minimum of around 3 g H₂O m⁻³ is required for TEA to be effective as the absorbent in passive diffusion samplers. This is unlikely to be a problem in UK conditions except in very cold, dry weather.




Figure 3. Schematic of possible reactions of TEA with NO₂ with different products depending on conditions of reaction.

4.2 Interferences

In addition to its reaction with NO₂, TEA also traps and reacts with other molecules to produce NO₂⁻ ions on extraction into aqueous solution. The two most important potential positive interferences, apart from the reaction of NO with O₃ during sampling (Section 2.5), are from peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and nitrous acid (HONO). Dosing of TEA absorbent with NO₃⁻ ions produces no interference (Hae-

Pedersen et al., 1993). There is a negligible effect of exposure to O₃ alone in the short term; 200 ppbV for 12 h converted < 10% of trapped (reacted) NO₂ to NO₃⁻ (de Santis et al., 1997); active co-sampling of 130 ppbV O₃ and 10 ppbV NO₂ using TEA on a filter at 50% RH produced no interference (Sickles et al., 1990).

Interference from PAN is important in that PAN is quantitatively converted to NO₂⁻ on (naturally alkaline) TEA (Hisham and Grosjean, 1990). The reaction of PAN appears to depend on the nature of the substrate on which the TEA is deposited for sampling; TEA on silica removes PAN from air but only gives 67% positive interference (Hedley et al., 1994). TEA-impregnated filters collected PAN, but only 15-25% of the reacted PAN was present as NO₂⁻, the remainder being NO₃⁻ (Sickles et al., 1990). For Palmes type samplers, interference from PAN was < 5% (ppbV:ppbV) (Gair et al., 1991). In practice, interference from PAN is likely to be very small under UK conditions (McFadyen and Cape, 2005), although it could be a significant interference in California (Grosjean, 2003).

HONO gives 100% interference, producing NO₂⁻ on reaction with TEA (Sickles et al., 1990). However, HONO concentrations in UK air are small; even in cities they account for only a few % of NO₂ concentrations (Martinez-Villa et al., 2000). Moreover, both PAN and HONO would be measured quantitatively as positive interference by a chemiluminescent NO_x analyzer using thermal conversion of NO₂ (and PAN and HONO) to NO, so would not be identified as a positive interference when passive diffusion tube samplers are compared with continuous automatic samplers.

There are no reports of negative interference on sampling using Palmes tubes with TEA as absorbent, other than the effects of humidity and temperature noted above. However, several authors have reported losses during sampling, especially when comparing integrated sampling over 4 weeks with the sum of 4 x 1-weekly sampling. These losses appear to be related to degradation of the trapped NO₂ by UV light (Loader et al., 2004). Non-blackened short-path polythene tubes lost up to 50% of absorbed NO₂ over a month (Krochmal and Kalina, 1997b). With Palmes type tubes, losses of NO₂ over several months, suggesting that the TEA-NO₂ reaction product is degraded, led to the use of an alternative trapping medium (iodide + hydroxide or iodide + arsenite) (Ferm and Svanberg, 1998; Røyset, 1998). Lower effective sampling rates over 4 weeks compared to 4 x 1 week, attributed to losses of sampled NO₂, have been observed using quartz tubes (which transmit UV light) rather than acrylic tubes (which do not) (Heal et al., 1999).

Conclusion

Positive interference from other pollutant gases is likely to be negligible in UK conditions. However, the effects of sampling duration are important because of losses that occur during sampling, probably caused by photochemical reactions of the trapped NO₂ (TEA-N-oxide) during sampling. These losses become significant over 4 weeks in comparison with 1-week sampling periods.

4.3 Ion Chromatography

The original method used by Palmes (Palmes et al., 1976) for measurement of the trapped NO₂ relied on the colorimetric determination of NO₂⁻ using the diazotisation

reaction with acidified sulphanilamide and N-(1-naphthyl)-ethylene diamine (NEDA), with detection and quantification of the pink colour produced using photometric absorption spectroscopy at 540 nm. This colour reagent had long been used for the quantification of NO₂⁻ in solution as 'Saltzman reagent'. The colorimetric method responds only to NO₂⁻, and not to NO₃⁻. Consequently, any NO₃⁻ produced during the reaction of NO₂ with TEA would not be registered, leading to the possibility of underestimating NO₂ uptake rates by TEA.

However, several authors have used Ion Chromatography (IC) rather than colorimetry to quantify NO₂ uptake. In an early study, uptake of NO₂ by TEA coated onto molecular sieve was shown to lead to production of both NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ ions, especially at low relative humidities (Vinjamoori and Ling, 1981). Similar results were shown for TEA coated onto silica in 'Sep-pak' cartridges, with 90% NO₂⁻ and 10% NO₃⁻ formed from NO₂ (Nishikawa et al., 1986).

The benefit of using IC was recognised over 20 years ago, in terms of a greatly improved limit of detection using Palmes tubes, compared with colorimetric analysis (Miller, 1984). Using gradient elution IC, the limit of detection for Palmes type tubes was measured as 4 ppbV.h, compared with 33 ppbV.h for a colorimetric method (Gair et al., 1991). Other authors have shown a 14-fold decrease in the limit of detection (Plaisance et al., 2004). Potential problems with TEA affecting eluent pH (and thereby quantification of NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ ions) in an isocratic system have been noted (Hedley et al., 1994). Many authors only report the NO₂⁻ concentrations obtained using IC (de Santis et al., 2000; Marner and Harrison, 2004), so it is not possible to establish whether NO₃⁻ is formed on exposure to NO₂. However, one study did note that only NO₂⁻ concentrations were needed to calculate atmospheric NO₂ concentrations (Shooter et al., 1997).

The technique has also been used for short-path samplers with faster sampling rates (de Santis et al., 1997; Moschandreas et al., 1990; Mulik et al., 1989; Sickles et al., 1990)

Conclusion

The colorimetric method for measuring NO₂⁻ ions extracted from the samplers is quick, easy, low-cost, and the method of choice for most UK applications. Ion chromatography might provide additional useful information on the efficiency of the reaction between TEA and NO₂ (from measurements of NO₃⁻ ions) and provides much lower detection limits if sampling low concentrations.

5. Diffusion tube construction and use

5.1 Tube preparation

The preparation of passive sampling tubes of the Palmes design has not been standardised, and different techniques lead to different results. Sampler tube preparation has been identified as the largest source of uncertainty when comparing the use of passive diffusion tubes for NO₂ monitoring by different laboratories (AEATechnology, 1999; AEATechnology, 2000; AEATechnology, 2001; AEATechnology, 2002; Gerboles et al., 2003a; Laxen and Wilson, 2002; Loader, 2001; Loader et al., 2001; Loader et al., 2003; Loader et al., 2004; Martin et al., 2001).

Several different techniques have been used for loading TEA onto the grids. In the original design (Palmes et al., 1976), 3 grids were used in each tube, coated in TEA by dipping them into a 50% solution of TEA in acetone. Grids were then dried (acetone allowed to evaporate) on absorbent paper. This gave an average of 0.95 mg TEA per grid. This technique was modified to use a 1:7 v/v solution of TEA in acetone to avoid blocking the mesh with solid TEA (Palmes and Tomczyk, 1979). The use of a TEA solution in water, pipetted onto the grids, was introduced a decade later (Hargreaves, 1989), and has been used as a standard method in the UK (Gair et al., 1991; Kirby et al., 2000). Methanol has also been used as a solvent to pipette aliquots of TEA solution onto grids pre-inserted into tube caps, because of problems with acetone reacting with the acrylic tubes; methanol evaporates more quickly than water (Tang et al., 2002).

A 33% solution of TEA in acetone was used with a single grid (van Reeuwijk et al., 1998) but the authors noted a significant under-reading compared to automatic monitors. It is likely that this was caused by inefficient trapping or saturation of the TEA, because use of a single grid (using 20% TEA in acetone) was shown to give only 50% capture compared with an automatic analyzer, but the use of 2 grids solved this problem (Kasper-Giebl and Puxbaum, 1999). Reports from the UK monitoring network also identified insufficient TEA on the grid as a problem (AEATechnology, 1999).

Different methods of preparation across the UK network were examined in a comparison in 1999 (AEATechnology, 2000). The use of a 50% solution of TEA in water, rather than in acetone, in preparing the grids gave a significantly smaller response (a bias relative to automatic analyzers of -23% cf. +11% for TEA/acetone), and a much larger variability (2.5 times larger s.d.). A more detailed study (Kirby et al., 2000) used a variety of different preparation techniques, with 2 grids:

1. 50% TEA in acetone, grids dipped (as for original Palmes method)
2. 10% TEA in water + a surfactant (Brij 35) pipetted onto grids in tube (30 µl)
3. as (2) but with 20% TEA in water (25, 30 and 50 µl aliquots)
4. as (2) but with 50% TEA in water (30 and 50 µl aliquots)

The amounts of TEA on both grids were ca. 7 mg for (1), 3 mg for (2), 5 mg for 50 µl of (3) and 25 mg for 50 µl of (4). All amounts were more than adequate on a mole ratio basis for sampling NO₂ over 2 weeks. No effect on sampler performance of aliquot volume of solution (3) was noted. However, use of 50% TEA in water significantly reduced sampler uptake (by ca. 18%) compared with 30% TEA in water. The authors suggested that this may be because of insufficient water availability, but there may also have been an effect of blocking the grids, thereby restricting access of NO₂ molecules (Palmes and Tomczyk, 1979).

In terms of blanks, a better detection limit (60 ppbV.h) was found for direct pipetting of water rather than for dipping in acetone solution (200 ppbV.h). However, in a more recent study using both 20% and 50% solutions of TEA in either acetone or water, dipping rather than pipetting was shown to lead to greater precision, especially for 50% TEA solutions in either acetone or water, with lower measured values from pipetted samples than dipped samples (Hamilton and Heal, 2004). The precision for

pipetted solutions at 50% TEA (whether in water or acetone) was particularly poor. This was ascribed to surface tension effects leading to 'creep' of pipetted solution up the walls of the tube, thereby increasing the effective surface area for capture of NO₂. In a detailed laboratory study, the use of 3 meshes evenly coated with 40 µl of a 10% v/v solution of TEA in water (with no surfactant) was optimal in terms of precision (Gerboles et al., 2005).

Comparisons of different methods across the UK monitoring network have shown similar results. The use of 50% TEA in acetone gave higher NO₂ values than 10 or 20% TEA in water, which gave higher values than 50% TEA in water (AEATechnology, 2001; AEATechnology, 2002; Loader, 2001; Loader et al., 2001; Martin et al., 2001). A compilation of 23 Local Authority studies in the UK concluded that there was a seasonal bias (relative to automatic analyzers) in the behaviour of tubes prepared using TEA+water, but not for tubes using TEA+acetone (Laxen and Wilson, 2002). Their overall conclusion matched the conclusions from the national network comparisons, that the performance of diffusion tubes for monitoring NO₂ depends more on the laboratory used to prepare and analyse the tubes than on any other single factor. The same conclusion was reached following an inter-laboratory comparison in France (Gerboles et al., 2003a). This study also noted that the commercial supplier of passive diffusion tubes (Gradko International, Winchester, UK) had subtly changed the tube design over a period of years, and that some of the differences noted among laboratories might have come from the (re)use of different designs of tube.

Both UK and French inter-comparison exercises have shown a gradual improvement over the years in accuracy (compared against automatic analyzers) and a gradual reduction in spread across different laboratories, but the differences among laboratories are still large, with no consensus as to the cause.

Conclusion

There is a clear need for consistency in tube preparation. Problems of reproducibility of the method have been noted in both the UK and in France in inter-lab comparisons. The minimum requirement based on studies to date is that at least 2 grids should be used to support the TEA absorbent, and the use of 50% solutions of TEA in water should be avoided in favour of weaker solutions, or solutions of TEA in acetone. There is no clear pattern concerning pipetting of the absorbent onto grids pre-inserted into tubes, or dipping grids into a solution before assembling tubes. Different laboratories find one or other method gives smaller variability.

5.2 Tube storage

Several authors have been concerned over the long-term stability of prepared tubes prior to exposure, and the effects on blank concentrations arising from storage. The original paper (Palmes et al., 1976) gives details showing negligible effects on sampling results when samplers were stored at room temperature for up to 39 days before exposure. There was no consistent pattern over time for tubes analysed after exposure and subsequent storage. Absorption of NO₂ by the plastic walls of the tubes and release after tube preparation, leading to an increase in blank levels during storage, has been noted (Miller, 1988; Shooter et al., 1997)., with blank levels increasing from 7 to 99 ppbV.h over a month. A similar rate of increase (34 ppbV.h per week) was seen independently (Gair et al., 1991) with acrylic tubes, and greater

increases with PTFE tubes. Storage in a freezer prevented the increase, suggesting that the increase was not caused by NO₂ trapped in the plastic caps. However, in remote areas some exposed samples gave lower readings than capped blanks, implying a contribution to capped tubes from material originally present in the tube materials at the time of preparation. No decomposition of TEA in tubes was observed after 6 weeks of storage (Kirby et al., 2000). Storage of prepared tubes in a refrigerator in a plastic container appears to be optimal (Gerboles et al., 2005).

Tests of the stability of tubes 'spiked' with NO₂, capped and exposed outdoors for up to 2 months, or at 4 °C in a cold room in the dark showed no loss of NO₂ (Tang et al., 2002). It was suggested that the use of a shield to protect the tubes outdoors had protected the tubes from UV light. In contrast, storage of exposed samplers in the light and at room temperature over 2 months significantly reduced the recovery of NO₂ (de Santis et al., 2000).

Conclusion

Prepared tubes and exposed tubes should be kept in the dark and refrigerated, in sealed containers, before use and after use, before extraction and analysis. In these conditions, storage of up to several months is possible without introduction of large uncertainties, either as variability in the blanks or systematic losses.

5.3 Tube extraction

Trapped NO₂ has usually been extracted for subsequent analysis using water, or IC eluent. Most often, extraction and colorimetric reaction has been done in the sample tube itself. In the original, and simplest, method (Palmer et al., 1976) the mixed colour reagent (acidified sulphanilamide and NEDA) is added directly to the sample tube. It was noted that adding reagents separately can give unreliable results, because if sulphanilamide is added first, the diazotised product is unstable and may decompose before undergoing the colour-forming reaction with NEDA. This observation may have been overlooked by subsequent users of Palmer tubes, e.g. (Atkins et al., 1986), because of the convenience of storing the colour reagents as two separate solutions (the mixed colour reagent gradually discolours), and may be responsible for the (anecdotal) evidence that changing analysts in the same laboratory can give different results (Laxen and Wilson, 2002). Inefficient extraction of NO₂ prior to chemical analysis was identified as a cause of significant under-reading by diffusion tubes compared with automatic analysers in the early years of the UK monitoring network (AEA Technology, 1999; AEA Technology, 2000). Use of a single laboratory for chemical analysis of exposed tubes greatly improved the variability of the technique. The average bias across the network changed from -11% to +13% between 1994 and 2001 as a result of improvements in extraction (AEA Technology, 2002).

Conclusion

Care must be taken to ensure adequate extraction of NO₂ into solution, and freshly-made pre-mixed reagents should be used for colorimetric analysis.

5.4 Variations in tube design

In addition to the subtle changes in the design and construction of commercially-available Palmer-type diffusion tubes (Gerboles et al., 2003a), there have been several variations on the basic Palmer design over the past 30 years. Problems associated with

NO₂ absorption on the tube walls were addressed using stainless steel (Miller, 1988) but this suggestion does not appear to have been followed up. Other plastics have been investigated; PTFE showed larger blanks than acrylic tubes (Gair et al., 1991), presumably because of greater solubility of NO₂ in the more porous material. Polypropylene (Perkauskas and Mikeliniskiene, 1998) and polyethylene (Kasper-Giebl and Puxbaum, 1999) have also been used, and quartz tubes have been used to investigate the effects of UV light during and after sampling (Heal et al., 1999). Completely shaded (foil-wrapped) or blackened tubes have also been used to investigate the effects of light (Bush et al., 2001b; Heal et al., 1999; Smith et al., 1998). Different tube designs have been compared in inter-laboratory comparisons under controlled conditions, and large differences in measured NO₂ concentrations were observed, but it is not clear how the differences in tube design affected performance because there were larger differences among tubes supposedly of identical 'Palms' design than for variations (Buzica et al., 2003).

In one study, TEA on a cellulose filter was used as the trapping medium, and a stainless steel grid was used at the sampler entrance to stop wind incursions, but no indication was given of how this affected sampling rates (Perkauskas and Mikeliniskiene, 1998).

In order to restrict ingress of turbulent eddies, which could lead to effective shortening of the diffusion path, several studies have used some form of permeable cover on the face of the tube, ranging from grids (Ferm and Svanberg, 1998; Gair and Penkett, 1995) to membranes (Buzica et al., 2003; Cape et al., 2004; Gerboles et al., 2005; Tang et al., 2002). However, it is not easy to estimate how much such 'wind barriers' also impede the diffusion process by effectively restricting molecular diffusion across the tube inlet. Consequently, the sampling rate cannot be calculated from first principles. Limited tests with two different meshes of grid and an open sampler showed similar uptake rates indoors, but a marked reduction (almost halving) in sampling rate outdoors, which was similar for both mesh sizes (the coarse mesh had 38% open space, fine had 43% open space) (Ferm and Svanberg, 1998).

The use of a membrane gave a consistently smaller measurement compared with standard open tubes (Tang et al., 2002), but it is not clear whether this was because the membrane prevented wind-induced shortening of the diffusion path, prevented within-tube chemical reaction of NO and O₃ because of reactions on the membrane, prevented loss of water (which may restrict uptake at low humidities), or simply added to the diffusion resistance for NO₂ molecules. Diffusion, when using a membrane, is controlled by the internal diameter of the tube, rather than by the size of the hole in the cap securing the membrane (Gerboles et al., 2005). In a very detailed multi-factorial laboratory experiment with NO₂ in the dark, tubes closed by a 1.2 mm thick PTFE membrane were shown to respond to relative humidity, averaging time and temperature, but only weakly to wind speed (0.8 to 3.6 m s⁻¹) or NO₂ concentration (effects < ± 2%). The effect of temperature was as expected from theory (its effect on the diffusion coefficient of NO₂ in air). After evaluation of the absolute effects of the different factors on uptake rates, a simplified expression was obtained for the membrane-capped tubes (Gerboles et al., 2005):

$$\text{Uptake rate (cm}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}\text{)} = 42.9 + 8.9 \ln [\text{H}_2\text{O}]$$

The absolute sampling rate is similar to that for a standard Palmes tube (60 cm³ h⁻¹ at 50% RH and 20 °C, cf. 55 cm³ h⁻¹ for a standard Palmes tube – see above, ASection 2.1). However, the authors do not state the actual dimensions of the tubes used, so it is not possible to compare directly the effect of the membrane on the sampling rate.

There was a small decrease in uptake measured after the first week's exposure, which was attributed to the loss of water vapour from the TEA as noted earlier (Kirby et al., 2000). Field testing showed that the use of the above equation gave results within 25% of those from an automatic sampler, but better agreement was found using a more complex equation with explicit inclusion of temperature, sampling time, relative humidity and wind speed. No contribution from within-tube chemistry was observed, but would have been likely to be small at the monitoring site, which is remote from sources of NO.

Conclusion

The use of grids or membranes across the tube entrance would prevent problems associated with turbulence, and may prevent the systematic positive bias caused by chemical reaction of NO with O₃ inside the tube. However, there are no clear data on the effects of such grids or membranes on sampling rates that could be used to assess whether calibration would be required for use in the field. Direct comparisons with automatic analyzers in the field suggest that the results are not significantly less reliable than those obtained using open tubes.

5.5 Other designs of passive samplers

Although the above modifications have involved relatively straightforward changes to the basic Palmes design, passive samplers of very different design and sampling rates have also been used to measure NO₂ concentrations in air. The more radical changes have involved shortening of the diffusion path, even to the point of a badge-type sampler where the exposed diameter is much greater than the diffusion path length, and a membrane is used to avoid the effects of wind turbulence (much more important for short path lengths). Where experiments involving alternative designs have helped in the evaluation of the many different factors that affect the Palmes design, they have been cited above. Although short path samplers have very much faster sampling rates (e.g. 50 cm³ min⁻¹ rather than 50 cm³ h⁻¹), and therefore can provide higher time resolution, they do require a degree of turbulence to ensure that air close to the sampler is not depleted in NO₂. This usually requires wind speeds at the sampler face in excess of 0.6 m s⁻¹. Examples of different sampler types are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of different designs of passive sampler used for measurement of NO₂ (see also Tang et al. 2001)

Diffusion length	Absorbent for NO₂	Sampling rate for NO₂	membrane	reference
n/a	glass fibre dipped in 9% TEA in acetone	112 cm ³ min ⁻¹ at room temperature	0.8 µm pore size polycarbonate membrane	(Cadoff and Hodgeson, 1983)
ca. 1 cm (not defined)	Glass fibre with 1.68M TEA in acetone (0.5 ml on 33 mm diameter)	154 cm ³ min ⁻¹	Diffusion barriers – mesh and perforated discs	(Lewis et al., 1985; Mulik et al., 1989)
10 mm	NaI / Na arsenite on paper filter	25 cm ³ min ⁻¹	PTFE membrane and stainless steel screen	(Ferm, 1991)
7 mm	n/a (toluene)	ca. 70 cm ³ min ⁻¹	Various stainless steel meshes	(Ballesta et al., 1993)
10 mm	Paper filter with 20% TEA in water (0.1 ml on 25 mm diameter) or SS grids dipped in 10% TEA in acetone	ca. 20 cm ³ min ⁻¹	Polypropylene fibre	(Krochmal and Kalina, 1997b)
10 – 87 mm	NaI / NaOH in methanol on paper filter	25 cm ³ min ⁻¹	PTFE membrane and stainless steel screen	(Ayers et al., 1998)
Cylindrical ca. 4mm 'Radiello'	TEA on cartridge	62 cm ³ min ⁻¹	Polyethylene membrane	(Gerboles et al., 2000)

6. Validation of diffusion tube methods

The validity of passive diffusion sampling for measuring NO₂ ultimately depends on the precision and accuracy with which laboratory, and especially field, measurements correspond with accepted active measurement techniques or reference concentrations. The reference method for NO₂ is usually the chemiluminescent reaction of NO with O₃, where the NO is generated from NO₂ by thermal catalysis (usually on a molybdenum convertor). Although this is an approved reference method, it is not free from artefacts – as noted above, PAN and HONO would be expected to give 100% positive interferences (Navas et al., 1997; Winer et al., 1974), and the response of the instrument, usually calibrated in dry air, is dependent on water vapour in the sampled atmosphere (Buzica et al., 2003; Gerboles et al., 2003b). Standardised methodologies for conducting laboratory and field validation of passive samplers have been developed (e.g. (Brown et al., 1984)); procedures for comparing NO₂ passive samplers with automated continuous samplers have been developed for use across the European Union (Ballesta, 2004). For the UK, the need for a validated reference method has already been noted (Section 1, (AQEG, 2004)).

6.1 Laboratory comparisons

Experiments in carefully controlled laboratory conditions have been widely used in evaluating the calibration and validation of passive diffusion samplers for NO₂. Factors such as temperature, humidity and wind speed (turbulence) are regulated, at one or more fixed concentrations of NO₂ (measured using an automatic monitor or generated from a known and calibrated source), over a sampling period of up to several days. Measurements are usually made in the dark, and with no other reactive trace gases present. Details of experiments to study effects of humidity and wind speed are given above.

Results are summarised in Table 2 and show the large range of responses that can be obtained if several different laboratories and tube preparation techniques are used, even in well-controlled conditions. Both under-sampling and over-sampling compared with theory are seen.

6.2 Indoor comparisons

Passive diffusion sampling for NO₂ has been used indoors to investigate effects on human health. Under these conditions, turbulence caused by wind is not a problem – indeed there may be the risk of an effective lengthening of the diffusion path because of depletion of NO₂ near the tube entrance. This is likely to be small for standard Palmes-type tubes, because the sampling rate is slow. Effects of sunlight are also likely to be small indoors, as are reactions between O₃ and NO. There may be co-sampling of HONO from gas-burning stoves and cookers, but any comparison with a chemiluminescent automatic sampler using thermal conversion of NO₂ to NO would include the sum of NO₂ and HONO in both cases. The biggest problem indoors is the lack of turbulent mixing, and large spatial variability. Although this makes the use of many low-cost samplers attractive, direct comparison with a single fixed-point automatic monitor becomes more difficult. Results from some indoor comparisons of Palmes-type diffusion tubes with automatic monitors are shown in Table 3.

Table 2. Summary of laboratory comparisons of Palmes-type diffusion tubes (DT) for NO₂ with automatic continuous monitors (AM) or known pre-determined NO₂ concentrations

Conc (ppb)	Conditions	Comparison R=ratio meas:theory (DT) = a.(AM) + b	Comments	Reference
		R = 1.0	Range 0-30 ppmh	(Palmes et al., 1976)
160	Varying RH, 5-85%	R = 0.78 + 0.0025xRH(%)	Low wind speed 'starvation'	(Boleij et al., 1986)
5-30	Varying RH	DT = 0.70 AM + 3.65	No sig. effect RH	(Hargreaves, 1989)
100-1100	Varying turbulence	R=1.0	4 m s ⁻¹ wind increased to R=1.48	(de Santis et al., 2000)
180	Varying T	R = 1.0 above -8 °C	Decreased < -8 °C	(Hansen et al., 2001)
23-26	21 °C, 65-70% RH	R = 0.8 to 1.4	R depends on tube preparation method	(Loader, 2001)
41	25°C,75% RH	R = 1.3 to 1.8	Palmes tubes only;	(Buzica et al., 2003)
22	9 °C,30% RH	R = 0.75 to 1.06	others used in study	
36	25°C,75% RH	R = 0.77 to 1.29	several labs and prepn methods	(Gerboles et al., 2003a)
105	20°C,50% RH	R= 1.0 to 1.8	Incr. with wind speed 0.2 - 2.3 m s ⁻¹	(Plaisance et al., 2004)

Table 3. Summary of comparisons of Palmes-type diffusion tubes (DT) for NO₂ with automatic continuous monitors (AM)

Conc (ppb)	Conditions	Comparison R=ratio meas:theory (DT) = a.(AM) + b	Comments	Reference
137	5 days	R=1.06 (kitchen)	duplicate tubes	(Apling et al., 1979)
7		R=0.85		
7-95	3-12 days in 9 homes	R=0.7 (bedrooms) R=1.3 (kitchens)	duplicate Data recalc	(Boleij et al., 1986)

6.3 Outdoor comparisons

Most of the controlled comparisons of passive diffusion samplers have been against chemiluminescent monitors under field conditions, with sampling periods of between 1 and 4 weeks. The sampling duration appears to have an effect on the absolute concentrations determined, even in comparisons of identical diffusion tubes co-located and sampled with different frequencies (see above, Section 4.2). For that reason, data on outdoor comparisons are presented in terms of sampling duration in Tables 4-6 and Figures 4-6. It should be borne in mind that the accuracy of automatic monitors for NO₂ has been estimated at ± 3.5 ppbV or ± 10% (Bower et al., 1991a).

Table 4. Summary of outdoor comparisons of Palmes-type diffusion tubes (DT) for NO₂ exposed for 1 week or less with automatic continuous monitors (AM)

Conc (ppb)	Conditions	Comparison R=ratio meas:theory (DT) = a.(AM) + b	Comments	Reference
4-60	Rural UK	DT = 0.97 AM + 1.0	No effect of windspeed or T	(Atkins et al., 1986)
13-38	Netherlands	R = 0.99		(Boleij et al., 1986)
1-6	Sweden, remote	DT = 1.36 AM – 0.60	Also compared with active TEA and DOAS	(Gair et al., 1991)
6-37	Rural Italy, EMEP site	DT = 0.72 AM + 0.5		(Gerboles and Amantini, 1993)
20-47	Urban UK	R = 1.27 ± 0.10 (acrylic tube) R = 1.06 ± 0.09 (quartz tube)	Overread attributed to chemistry in tube	(Heal et al., 1999)
12-33	Urban UK	R = 1.24 (range 0.95-1.72)	cf. 2 week and 4 week sampling	(Heal et al., 2000)
1-30	Rural & urban UK	DT = 1.39 AM + 2.0	Values < 10 ppb were close to 1:1	(Tang et al., 2002)
16-30	Urban UK 12 sites	R range 1.0 to 1.61	Compilation of local authority data	(Laxen and Wilson, 2002)
12-40	Roadside UK	R range 0.7 to 2.0 Mean R = 1.31		(Hickman et al., 2002)
25	Airport UK	R = 2.0	Limited data set	(Stevenson and Clark, 2003)
15-50	Edinburgh	R = 1.32 (n=587) (range 1.18 to 1.41)	3 sites, 8 prepn methods	(Hamilton and Heal, 2004)
24-38	US-Mexico urban	DT = 1.62 AM – 22.5 (calc from data)	4 sites; overread increased with NO ₂ :NO _x ratio	(Gonzales et al., 2005)




Figure 4. Field comparisons of NO₂ concentrations measured using passive diffusion tubes exposed for 1 week with concentrations from a chemiluminescent NO_x analyzer (Table 4).

Table 5. Summary of outdoor comparisons of Palmes-type diffusion tubes (DT) for NO₂ exposed for 2 weeks with automatic continuous monitors (AM)

Conc (ppb)	Conditions	Comparison R=ratio meas:theory (DT) = a.(AM) + b	Comments	Reference
7-28	Rural Italy, EMEP site	DT = 0.80 AM -1.3		(Gerboles and Amantini, 1993)
10-38	UK Urban background	R = 1.09 ± 0.02 (normal) R = 0.91 ± 0.02 (sheltered)	17 sites, 1 year; no diff. summer/winter	(Bush et al., 2001b; Smith et al., 1998)
18-27 7-22 7-17	Amsterdam Huddersfield Prague	R = 1.16 R = 1.03 R = 0.99	Compared with badge type also. Only one mesh	(van Reeuwijk et al., 1998)
15-29	Urban UK	R = 1.15 (range 0.91-1.47)	cf. 1 week and 4 week sampling	(Heal et al., 2000)
18-30	Rome	R range 0.4 to 1.4	Poor correlation	(de Santis et al., 2000)
13-50	Urban UK	R range 0.94 to 1.02 R range 0.76 to 0.91	20% TEA:water 50% TEA:water	(Kirby et al., 2000)
15-32	Urban UK	R = 1.16 ± 0.05 (out) R = 1.03 ± 0.06 (in)	Effect of shelter; R decr as NO ₂ incr	(Kirby, 2001)
24-30	Urban UK 5 sites	R range 0.97 to 1.34	Compilation of local authority data	(Laxen and Wilson, 2002)
22 7	Urban, Paris Rural, Paris	R range 0.97 to 1.13 R range 0.82 to 0.93	Different laboratories	(Buzica et al., 2003)
6-26	Urban, Paris	DT = 0.94 AM + 3.0 R = 0.87 (no shield) DT = 0.94 AM - 0.5 R = 0.93 (shielded)	4 sites, 13 months	(Plaisance et al., 2004)
7 22	Rural Italy Urban Italy	R = 0.74 to 0.79 R = 0.92 to 0.97	Effect of shelter	(Buzica et al., 2005)




Figure 5. Field comparisons of NO₂ concentrations measured using passive diffusion tubes exposed for 2 weeks with concentrations from a chemiluminescent NO_x analyzer (Table 5).

Table 6. Summary of outdoor comparisons of Palmes-type diffusion tubes (DT) for NO₂ exposed for 4 weeks or more with automatic continuous monitors (AM)

Conc (ppb)	Conditions	Comparison R=ratio meas:theory (DT) = a.(AM) + b	Comments	Reference
4-60	Rural and Urban UK	R = 1.26	sites mostly sheltered	(Campbell et al., 1994)
	Berlin roadside	Sig. deviation from 1:1 at some sites	DT suitable for screening	(Moriske et al., 1996a)
12-40	UK Urban background	R = 1.08 ± 0.06 (normal) R = 0.90 ± 0.02 (sheltered)	17 sites, 1 year; no diff. summer/winter	(Bush et al., 2001b; Smith et al., 1998)
6-25	Various, 25 sites, Denmark & Italy	DT = 1.13 AM – 3.4	Wind shield used	(Glasius et al., 1999)
	Urban background	R = 1.02 (range 0.61 to 1.58)	38 laboratories Aug-Oct 1998	(AEATechnology, 1999)
19	Urban background	R = 0.93 (range 0.69 to 1.24)	33 laboratories Aug 1999	(AEATechnology, 2000)
17-28	Urban UK	R = 1.06 (range 0.88-1.39)	cf. 1 week and 2 week sampling	(Heal et al., 2000)
16-53	Suburban and roadside UK	R = 1.36	3 months Sep-Dec	(Bush et al., 2001a)
	Rural Denmark	DT = 1.15 AM – 0.98 (±0.04) (±0.42)	7 monthly data points	(Hansen et al., 2001)
20	Urban background	R = 1.18 (range 0.80 to 1.66)	31 laboratories Oct 2000	(AEATechnology, 2001)
20	Urban background	R = 1.14 (range 0.53 to 1.50)	28 laboratories Sep-Oct 2001	(AEATechnology, 2002)
2-24	Rural & urban UK	DT = 1.41 AM – 1.6	Values < 10 ppb were close to 1:1	(Tang et al., 2002)
3-22	Rural & urban UK	DT = 1.38 AM – 1.9 (2 month exposure)	Values < 10 ppb were close to 1:1	(Tang et al., 2002)
11-35	Urban & roadside 16 sites	R range 0.49 to 0.93 (Gradko) R range 0.62 to 1.42	Compilation of local authority data	(Laxen and Wilson, 2002)
20	Motorway UK	R up to 1.4	3-week sampling	(Marner and Harrison, 2004)




Figure 6. Field comparisons of NO₂ concentrations measured using passive diffusion tubes exposed for 4 weeks with concentrations from a chemiluminescent NO_x analyzer (Table 6).

Conclusion

As illustrated in Tables 4-6 and Figures 4-6, there is general good agreement between the concentrations of NO₂ measured using diffusion tubes and concentrations measured using chemiluminescent analyzers under field conditions. However, the data also reflect the large spread of responses found by different authors using slightly different methods under a range of conditions. In general, the degree of positive bias decreases from 1 week to 2 week exposures, but there is still a large positive bias shown for some data sets where tubes have been exposed over 4 weeks. It should be noted that some of the data refer to relatively short periods of comparison, whereas others extend over several sites and years, so they should not all be given equal weight.

7. Use of passive diffusion tubes for NO₂ concentration monitoring

Passive diffusion tubes of the Palmes design, and several variants, have been widely used in the United Kingdom and Europe for monitoring the spatial and temporal variations in concentration of NO₂. A summary of published data is given in Table 7. This is a fairly comprehensive list of published results for the U.K., with additional references to monitoring elsewhere that is relevant to the use of the technique. It should be noted that there has been extensive use of the Palmes-type tube in by local authorities in France, with data published as internal reports or on the web. Only peer-reviewed papers are cited here. Table 7 does not include measurements made specifically as part of method validation – only reports with significant spatial or temporal extent, or with an original application of the technique, are included. The scope of UK Local Authority participation (not necessarily published, or included in Table 7) can be judged by reference to the UK Network Instruction Manual, which lists 50 laboratories (Bush et al., 2003). In addition to the studies listed in Table 7, NO₂ concentrations are also measured using passive diffusion tubes at 3 sites in the Level II network for Forest Health Monitoring operated by UK Forest Research ([http://www.forestresearch.gov.uk/pdf/Level_II_data_collection.pdf/\\$FILE/Level_II_data_collection.pdf](http://www.forestresearch.gov.uk/pdf/Level_II_data_collection.pdf/$FILE/Level_II_data_collection.pdf)) and at the 10 terrestrial sites of the Environmental Change Network (http://www.ecn.ac.uk/Database/get_sandm.asp?st=T).

Table 7. Monitoring studies using Palmes-type passive diffusion tubes for the measurement of NO₂ concentrations

United Kingdom	Comments
(Colls, 1986)	Spatial variation within cereal canopy
(Longhurst et al., 1987)	Greater Manchester, several years, >14 sites
(Laxen and Noordally, 1987)	Urban, spatial variation in street canyon
(Campbell, 1988)	Rural UK, 8 sites, 1985-1987
(Goldstein et al., 1979)	Indoor vs outdoor, Middlesbrough
(Ashenden and Bell, 1989)	Rural Wales, 50 sites, 1986
(Bower et al., 1989a)	Urban UK, 1987
(Bower et al., 1989b; Bower et al., 1991b)	Urban UK, Jul-Dec 1986, 363 sites
(Melia et al., 1978)	Indoors, gas vs electric cookers
(Hewitt, 1991)	Lancaster UK, spatial variation
(Atkins et al., 1992)	W.Europe 1989-1990
(Campbell et al., 1994)	Urban UK, Jul-Dec 1991, 363 sites
(Atkins and Lee, 1995)	Rural UK 24 sites 1987-1990
(Ashenden and Edge, 1995)	Rural Wales, 23 sites
(Humfrey et al., 1996)	Literature review of indoor exposure relative to health, includes world-wide data
(Smith et al., 1997)	Rural UK, 12 sites

(Bell and Ashenden, 1997)	Rural N. Wales, roadside
(Smith et al., 1997)	Rural UK, 1995, 12 sites
(Kirby et al., 1998)	Urban, Cambridge, local variability
(Lebret et al., 2000)	Huddersfield and 3 other European cities; spatial
(Hargreaves et al., 2000)	Rothamsted, 13 sites, seasonal/spatial variation
(Hughes et al., 2000)	Surrey, traffic related, 1993-1999
(Stevenson et al., 2001)	Urban UK, 1993-1997, 1000 sites
(Loader et al., 2001)	UK Network data for 2000
(Kirby, 2001)	Cambridge, Norwich and London
(Hickman et al., 2002)	Motorway (M25), 1998-2001
(Loader et al., 2003)	UK Network data for 2001
(Stevenson and Clark, 2003)	Heathrow Airport, spatial
(Cape et al., 2004)	Scotland, roadside, 14 sites, membrane
(Marner and Harrison, 2004)	West Midlands, 10 sites, 1999-2001
(Loader et al., 2004)	UK Network data for 2002
Other countries	
(Noy et al., 1990)	personal & indoors; conditional sampling of 'peak' conditions
(Madany and Danish, 1993)	Bahrain, 1992, 55 sites
(Haue-Pedersen et al., 1993)	Odense, Denmark; urban
(della Massa et al., 1994)	Toulouse, France, spatial
(Moriske et al., 1996a)	Berlin, roadside
(Shooter et al., 1997)	Rural and urban New Zealand
(Krochmal and Kalina, 1997a)	Urban & rural Poland; badge type sampler, 147 sites
(Perkauskas and Mikeliniskiene, 1998)	Vilnius, Lithuania; urban
(Roorda-Knape et al., 1998)	Netherlands, urban, motorway
(Marcoux et al., 1998)	Spatial – Alpine valley, France
(Glasius et al., 1999)	Funen, Denmark, 43 sites
(Hansen et al., 2001)	Greenland, 43 sites
(Sanz and Krause, 2001)	European Forest Health Monitoring, 230 plots
(Gonzales et al., 2005)	Urban, US-Mexico border

8. Conclusions

Passive diffusion tubes of the Palmes type have been widely used in the UK and Europe to measure NO₂ concentrations. The method is simple, cheap and easy to use, and is a very useful tool for measuring spatial and temporal variations. However, the absolute concentrations obtained using this method can show large deviations from more expensive automatic methods, particularly in urban areas and close to roads, which is where the use of tubes may be of greatest interest in determining where Air Quality Targets are most likely to be exceeded. Under such conditions, the tubes show a positive bias, and so give a conservative estimate of actual air concentrations, but may provide useful relative values for comparing different locations. The reasons for the positive bias are understood, and relate to inhomogeneities in the sampled air close to sources of NO.

It has also become clear through many investigations and inter-laboratory comparisons that the details of tube construction, assembly, exposure, extraction and analysis play a major role in controlling the variability of the method. Many different variants have been used, and it appears that small changes (for example, in the way in which the absorbent is placed on the supporting grids) can have large systematic effects on the measured concentrations. Although considerable progress has been made in both the UK and France in reducing inter-laboratory variation, it is not clear why large differences still remain.

Effects of environmental conditions during sampling have been investigated. The effects of temperature on the diffusion of NO₂ molecules are predictable, and affect the sampling rate. These can be corrected for, but only contribute to variation of a few percent. Humidity also affects the response when triethanolamine is used as the absorbent; the dependence is relatively weak at normal ambient UK temperatures, but becomes important when absolute humidities are small, i.e. in cold, dry air. The dependence of sampling rate on wind speed and turbulence has been demonstrated unequivocally in the laboratory, leading to increasing positive bias as wind speed increases. However, there is a much less clear response when sampling outdoors; there is no predictable relationship between wind speeds measured at a site and the actual turbulence conditions near the open end of the sampler.

The use of a membrane or an inert grid at the entrance to the tube appears to reduce the effect of turbulence, but may reduce the sampling rate; this might require calibration of the tubes, rather than relying simply on the tube geometry to calculate sampling rates from first principles. However, there are also indications that a membrane at the tube entrance prevents within-tube reactions of NO with O₃, leading to positive bias at sites close to NO sources. This may be because of the solubility of NO in PTFE, but no study of the phenomenon has been made.

Sampling times vary between a few days up to 2 months. There is a systematic decrease in the effective sampling rate over periods of a week or more. This has masked the positive bias in some of the studies where tubes have been exposed for 4 weeks, leading to better agreement with automatic analyzers than might have been expected. Exposure to light and to high temperatures appears to be responsible.

9. Recommendations

The recommendations made here fall into two categories: the first deal with improvements that can be made to the present way of operating, and are a natural extension of the improvements noted over time arising from the UK Network comparison exercises; the second are more daical, and provide greater accuracy of measurements, but require additional work to validate, and would mean significant changes from the current *modus operandi*.

9.1 Development of existing network

In order to facilitate the comparison of data from different sources and collected by different laboratories, some standardisation of techniques is required.

1. Tube preparation

Tubes and caps should be free from cracks, scratches or defects; grids (two) should be of stainless steel, with a standard mesh size; the end cap holding the grids must be opaque; tube material would usually be acrylic, with internal dimensions carefully measured.

2. Absorbent

A standardised composition and mode of preparation should be used. There is no obvious 'best choice', although 50% TEA in water should be avoided. A solution of 30% TEA in water (50 µl aliquot) pipetted onto the grids held in the opaque cap before tube assembly is commonly used, and is simpler than dipping and drying grids, though pipetting does not necessarily provide the best precision. One detailed study suggested that the optimal method was an evenly distributed application of 40 µl of a 10% solution of TEA in water onto 3 grids per sampler (Gerboles et al., 2005).

3. Storage of tubes

After preparation, tubes should be stored in a sealed plastic container in the dark, and refrigerated. After sampling, tubes should be resealed and stored refrigerated in the dark in a sealed container prior to chemical analysis.

4. Exposure of tubes

Tubes should be exposed in replicate (ideally 3) in a sheltered position, mounted with the open end downwards. Shelter may be provided by a rain shield or similar device, but this should not prevent free air movement around the face of the tubes. Sites with strong updraughts and turbulence caused by edges of buildings should be avoided. Field blanks should be transported to/from the site but not exposed.

5. Chemical analysis

If the standard colorimetric method is used, reagents should be prepared freshly and mixed prior to adding to the extracted samplers. Care must be taken that extraction is complete before adding colour reagent. If ion chromatography is used, care should be taken that extracted TEA does not upset the quantification of the chromatograms. Both nitrite and nitrate concentrations should be reported.

The use of the above methods, largely a standardisation of existing practice, should improve precision. Continuation of the current UK Network Intercomparison studies will show evidence of compliance, and a mechanism for demonstrating the benefits of a standardised sampling and analysis system. This is recommended as a minimum

course of actions. However, the results obtained, even from a fully standardised network, will still show systematic bias caused by turbulence and in-tube chemistry, which may be as large as +40% for kerbside exposures. Consequently, accuracy is not guaranteed. The use of passive diffusion tubes for screening urban air (identifying 'hot spots') would still be possible, but they should not be used to assess compliance with Air Quality Targets.

9.2 Improvements in accuracy

In addition to the recommendations of section 9.1, two options are available for improving the absolute accuracy of measured concentrations. These would need to be validated more widely, so would be more costly.

A: Use of quartz tubes rather than acrylic tubes

Quartz tubes (although more expensive) avoid the positive bias caused by within-tube chemical reactions, so are useful for near-source monitoring (e.g. kerbside), but should not be exposed for more than one week because of light-dependent losses. Tubes are more expensive, and less robust, and shading from use within sheltered tube holders would negate the benefit of using a UV-transmitting material for tube construction. However, such an approach would give little change to the operating protocols, and no increase in the time required for tube preparation, sampling or analysis. No recalibration would be required.

B: Use of a membrane across the entrance to the tube

Use of a membrane at the open end of the tube would prevent positive bias caused by turbulence. Insufficient data currently exist to show what type of membrane is best, and what the implications are for sampling rates. A membrane excludes insects and other contaminants, and there is some evidence that it may also prevent within-tube chemistry leading to positive bias. However, this assumption needs to be tested experimentally, and calibration of the membrane-capped tube would be required (Gerboles et al., 2005). Use of a thin PTFE membrane may not change the absolute sampling rate greatly, but this needs to be demonstrated in the laboratory. Operating protocols are little different, but a small amount of additional work is required in tube preparation.

A validated method that demonstrated the removal of most of the sampling artefacts would allow passive diffusion tubes to be used under all circumstances for measurement of NO₂ concentrations in air over long periods with a known precision and accuracy, which would allow them to be used to demonstrate compliance with Air Quality targets.

10. References

- AEATechnology, 1999. Summary results from the UK NO₂ network field intercomparison exercise 1998, AEATechnology, Culham.
- AEATechnology, 2000. Summary results from the UK NO₂ network field intercomparison exercise 1999, AEATechnology, Culham.
- AEATechnology, 2001. Summary results from the UK NO₂ network field intercomparison exercise 2000, AEATechnology, Culham.
- AEATechnology, 2002. Summary results from the UK NO₂ network field intercomparison exercise 2001, AEATechnology, Culham.
- Aoyama, T. and Yashiro, T., 1983. Analytical study of low-concentration gases IV. Investigation of the reaction by trapping nitrogen dioxide in air using the triethanolamine method. *Journal of Chromatography*, 265: 69-78.
- Apling, A.J., Stevenson, K.J., Goldstein, B.D., Melia, R.J.W. and Atkins, D.H.F., 1979. Air Pollution in Homes: 2: Validation of Diffusion Tube Measurements of Nitrogen Dioxide. LR 311 (AP), Warren Spring Laboratory, Stevenage.
- AQEG, 2004. Nitrogen dioxide in the United Kingdom, Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Scottish Executive, Welsh Assembly Government and Department of the Environment in Northern Ireland, London.
- Ashenden, T.W. and Bell, S.A., 1989. Rural concentrations of nitrogen dioxide pollution throughout Wales. *Environmental Pollution*, 58(2-3): 179-193.
- Ashenden, T.W. and Edge, C.P., 1995. Increasing Concentrations of Nitrogen Dioxide Pollution in Rural Wales. *Environmental Pollution*, 87(1): 11-16.
- Atkins, D.H.F., Gerboles, M. and Quirino, I., 1992. A survey of nitrogen dioxide in Western Europe. EUR 14862 EN, Joint Research Centre, Ispra.
- Atkins, D.H.F. and Lee, D.S., 1995. Spatial and temporal variation of rural nitrogen dioxide concentrations across the United Kingdom. *Atmospheric Environment*, 29(2): 223-239.
- Atkins, D.H.F., Sandalls, J., Law, D.V., Hough, A.M. and Stevenson, K., 1986. The measurement of nitrogen dioxide in the outdoor environment using passive diffusion tube samplers. AERE R12133, United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority, Harwell.
- Ayers, G.P. et al., 1998. Validation of passive diffusion samplers for SO₂ and NO₂. *Atmospheric Environment*, 32(20): 3587-3592.
- Ballesta, P.P., 2004. Demonstration of equivalence of ambient air monitoring methods (DRAFT), EC Joint Research Centre, Ispra.
- Ballesta, P.P., Ferradas, E.G. and Aznar, A.M., 1993. Effects of the diffusion membrane on passive sampling. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 27: 2031-2034.
- Bell, S. and Ashenden, T.W., 1997. Spatial and temporal variation in nitrogen dioxide pollution adjacent to rural roads. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, 95(1-4): 87-98.
- Blacker, J.H., 1973. Triethanolamine for collecting nitrogen dioxide in the TLV range. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*, 34: 390-395.
- Boleij, J.S.M., Lebret, E., Hoek, F., Noy, D. and Brunekreef, B., 1986. The use of Palmes diffusion tubes for measuring NO₂ in homes. *Atmospheric Environment*, 20(3): 597-600.
- Bower, J.S. et al., 1989a. Monitoring for the European Community nitrogen dioxide directive in the United Kingdom: A review of data for 1987. LR 734 (AP) M, Warren Spring Laboratory, Stevenage.

- Bower, J.S. et al., 1991a. Urban NO₂ concentrations in the U.K. in 1987. *Atmospheric Environment. Part B. Urban Atmosphere*, 25(2): 267-283.
- Bower, J.S., Lampert, J.E., Stevenson, K.J., Atkins, D.H.F. and Law, D.V., 1989b. Results of a national survey of ambient nitrogen dioxide levels in urban areas of the United Kingdom. LR 726 (AP)M, Warren Spring Laboratory, Stevenage.
- Bower, J.S., Lampert, J.E., Stevenson, K.J., Atkins, D.H.F. and Law, D.V., 1991b. A diffusion tube survey of NO₂ levels in urban areas of the U.K. *Atmospheric Environment. Part B. Urban Atmosphere*, 25(2): 255-265.
- Brown, R.H., 1993. The use of diffusive samplers for monitoring of ambient air. *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 65(8): 1859-1874.
- Brown, R.H., Harvey, R.P., Purnell, C.J. and Saunders, K.J., 1984. A diffusive sampler evaluation protocol. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*, 45(2): 67-75.
- Burton, R.M., Ravishankar, K. and Palmes, E.D., 1988. Convergence to Steady-State in a Diffusional Sampler. *SIAM Review*, 30(3): 482-486.
- Bush, T., Jenkin, M., Banham, J. and Loader, A., 2001a. Investigation of NO₂ diffusion tube over-read resulting from reduced photolysis by UV light. AEAT/ENV/R/0562, AEA Technology, Abingdon.
- Bush, T., Mooney, D. and Loader, A., 2003. UK NO₂ Diffusion Tube Network Instruction Manual. AEA Technology plc, Culham, 14 + Appendices pp.
- Bush, T., Smith, S., Stevenson, K. and Moorcroft, S., 2001b. Validation of nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube methodology in the UK. *Atmospheric Environment*, 35(2): 289-296.
- Buzica, D., Gerboles, M. and Amantini, L., 2003. Laboratory and Field Inter-comparisons of NO₂ Diffusive Samplers. EUR 20860 EN, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Emissions and Health Unit, Ispra, Italy.
- Buzica, D., Gerboles, M., Amantini, L., Ballesta, P.P. and De Saeger, E., 2005. Modelling of the uptake rate of the nitrogen dioxide Palmes diffusive sampler based on the effect of environmental factors. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 7(2): 169-174.
- Cadoff, B.C. and Hodgeson, J., 1983. Passive sampler for ambient levels of nitrogen dioxide. *Analytical Chemistry*, 55: 2083-2085.
- Campbell, G.W., 1988. Measurements of nitrogen dioxide concentrations at rural sites in the United Kingdom using diffusion tubes. *Environmental Pollution*, 55(4): 251-270.
- Campbell, G.W., Stedman, J.R. and Stevenson, K., 1994. A Survey of Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations in the United Kingdom Using Diffusion Tubes, July-December 1991. *Atmospheric Environment*, 28(3): 477-486.
- Cape, J.N. et al., 2004. Concentrations of ammonia and nitrogen dioxide at roadside verges, and their contribution to nitrogen deposition. *Environmental Pollution*, 132(3): 469-478.
- Colls, J.J., 1986. Measurement of nitrogen dioxide profiles by diffusion tubes within a barley canopy. *Atmospheric Environment*, 20(1): 239-242.
- Cox, R.M., 2003. The use of passive sampling to monitor forest exposure to O₃, NO₂ and SO₂: a review and some case studies. *Environmental Pollution*, 126(3): 301-311.
- Crecelius, H. and Forweg, W., 1970. Investigations of the "Saltzman Factor". *Staub - Reinhalt. Luft*, 30: 23.

- de Santis, F., Allegrini, I., Fazio, M.C., Pasella, D. and Piredda, R., 1997. Development of a passive sampling technique for the determination of nitrogen dioxide and sulphur dioxide in ambient air. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 346(1): 127-134.
- de Santis, F., Fino, A., Tiwari, S., Vazzana, C. and Allegrini, I., 2000. A performance evaluation of the open tube diffusion sampler (Palmes sampler) for monitoring nitrogen dioxide. In: J.W.S. Longhurst, C.A. Brebbia and H. Power (Editors), *Air Pollution VIII*. WITPress, Southampton, pp. 421-429.
- della Massa, J.P., Gerboles, M. and Payrissat, M., 1994. Etude de la distribution du dioxyde d'azote dans l'agglomération Toulousaine par la méthode des échantillonneurs passifs. EUR 15567 FR, European Commission DG XIII, Luxembourg.
- Ferm, M., 1991. A sensitive diffusional sampler. L91-172, IVL, Gothenburg, Sweden.
- Ferm, M. and Svanberg, P.-A., 1998. Cost-efficient techniques for urban- and background measurements of SO₂ and NO₂. *Atmospheric Environment*, 32(8): 1377-1381.
- Gair, A.J. and Penkett, S.A., 1995. The effects of wind speed and turbulence on the performance of diffusion tube samplers. *Atmospheric Environment*, 29(18): 2529-2533.
- Gair, A.J., Penkett, S.A. and Oyola, P., 1991. Development of a simple passive technique for the determination of nitrogen dioxide in remote continental locations. *Atmospheric Environment Part a-General Topics*, 25(9): 1927-1939.
- Gerboles, M. and Amantini, L., 1993. Validation of measurement by NO₂ passive sampler. A comparison with chemiluminescent monitor. TN I/93/107, JRC Environment Institute, Ispra, Italy.
- Gerboles, M., Buzica, D. and Amantini, L., 2003a. Exercices d'intercomparaison pour la mesure du dioxyde d'azote dans l'air ambiant par tubes a diffusion. EUR 20744 FR, Joint Research Centre, Ispra.
- Gerboles, M., Buzica, D. and Amantini, L., 2005. Modification of the Palmes diffusion tube and semi-empirical modelling of the uptake rate for monitoring nitrogen dioxide. *Atmospheric Environment*, 39(14): 2579-2592.
- Gerboles, M., Detimmerman, F., Amantini, L. and de Saeger, E., 2000. Validation of Radiello diffusive sampler for monitoring NO₂ in ambient air. EUR 19593 EN, JRC Environment Centre, Ispra, Italy.
- Gerboles, M., Lagler, F., Rembges, D. and Brun, C., 2003b. Assessment of uncertainty of NO₂ measurements by the chemiluminescence method and discussion of the quality objective of the NO₂ European Directive. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 5: 529-540.
- Girman, J.R., Hodgson, A.T., Robison, B.K. and Traynor, G.W., 1983. Laboratory studies of the temperature dependence of the Palmes NO₂ passive sampler. LBL-16302, Lawrence Berkely Laboratory, Berkely.
- Glasius, M., Carlsen, M.F., Hansen, T.S. and Lohse, C., 1999. Measurements of nitrogen dioxide on Funen using diffusion tubes. *Atmospheric Environment*, 33(8): 1177-1185.
- Gold, A., 1977. Stoichiometry of nitrogen dioxide determination in triethanolamine trapping solution. *Analytical Chemistry*, 49(9): 1448-1450.
- Goldstein, B.D. et al., 1979. The relation between respiratory illness in primary school children and the use of gas in cooking - II. Factors affecting the nitrogen dioxide levels in the home. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 8: 339-345.

- Gonzales, M., Qualls, C., Hudgens, E. and Neas, L., 2005. Characterization of a spatial gradient of nitrogen dioxide across a United States-Mexico border city during winter. *Science of the Total Environment*, 337(1-3): 163-173.
- Grosjean, D., 2003. Ambient PAN and PPN in southern California from 1960 to the SCOS97-NARSTO. *Atmospheric Environment*, 37: S221-S238.
- Hagenbjörk-Gustafsson, A., Lindahl, R., Levin, J.-O. and Karlsson, D., 1999. Validation of a diffusive sampler for NO₂. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 1(4): 349-352.
- Hamilton, R.P. and Heal, M.R., 2004. Evaluation of method of preparation of passive diffusion tubes for measurement of ambient nitrogen dioxide. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 6(1): 12-17.
- Hangartner, M., 2001. Influence of meteorological factors on the performance of diffusive samplers. In: R.H. Brown, T.L. Hafkenschied, K.J. Saunders, A. Borowiak and E. De Saeger (Editors), *Measuring Air Pollutants by Diffusive Sampling*. European Commission Joint research centre, Montpellier, France, pp. 78-83.
- Hansen, T.S., Kruse, M., Nissen, H., Glasius, M. and Lohse, C., 2001. Measurements of nitrogen dioxide in Greenland using Palmes diffusion tubes. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 3(1): 139-145.
- Hargreaves, K.J., 1989. The development and application of diffusion tubes for air pollution measurements. Ph. D. Thesis, University of Nottingham.
- Hargreaves, P.R., Leidi, A., Grubb, H.J., Howe, M.T. and Mugglestone, M.A., 2000. Local and seasonal variations in atmospheric nitrogen dioxide levels at Rothamsted, UK, and relationships with meteorological conditions. *Atmospheric Environment*, 34(6): 843-853.
- Haue-Pedersen, U., Hansen, C.L., Knudsen, K.U., Skov, H. and Lohse, C., 1993. Measurements of NO₂ by passive sampling. In: G. Angeletti and G. Restelli (Editors), *Physico-chemical behaviour of atmospheric pollutants*. European Commission, pp. 737-743.
- Heal, M.R. and Cape, J.N., 1997. A numerical evaluation of chemical interferences in the measurement of ambient nitrogen dioxide by passive diffusion samplers. *Atmospheric Environment*, 31(13): 1911-1923.
- Heal, M.R., Kirby, C. and Cape, J.N., 2000. Systematic biases in measurement of urban nitrogen dioxide using passive diffusion samplers. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 62(1): 39-54.
- Heal, M.R., O'Donoghue, M.A. and Cape, J.N., 1999. Overestimation of urban nitrogen dioxide by passive diffusion tubes: a comparative exposure and model study. *Atmospheric Environment*, 33(4): 513-524.
- Hedley, K.J. et al., 1994. An Evaluation of Integrating Techniques for Measuring Atmospheric Nitrogen Dioxide. *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry*, 54(3): 167-181.
- Hewitt, C.N., 1991. Spatial variations in nitrogen dioxide concentrations in an urban area. *Atmospheric Environment. Part B. Urban Atmosphere*, 25(3): 429-434.
- Hickman, A.J., McCrae, I.S., Cloke, J. and Davies, G.J., 2002. Measurements of roadside air pollution dispersion. PR/SE/445/02, TRL Ltd.
- Hisham, M.W.M. and Grosjean, D., 1990. Sampling of atmospheric nitrogen dioxide using triethanolamine: Interference from peroxyacetyl nitrate. *Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics*, 24(9): 2523-2525.

- Hofschreuder, P., van der Meulen, W., Heeres, P. and Slanina, S., 1999. The influence of geometry and draught shields on the performance of passive samplers. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 1: 143-147.
- Hori, H. and Tanaka, I., 1993. Response characteristics of the diffusive sampler at fluctuating vapor concentrations. *American Institute of Hygiene Association Journal*, 54(3): 95-101.
- Hughes, S.J., Hellawell, E.E. and Strongitharm, G., 2000. Evaluation of traffic related nitrogen dioxide data in Surrey. In: J.W.S. Longhurst, C.A. Brebbia and H. Power (Editors), *Air Pollution VIII*. WIT Press, Southampton, pp. 360-368.
- Humfrey, C., Shuker, L. and Harrison, P., 1996. *Indoor Air Quality in the Home: nitrogen dioxide, formaldehyde, volatile organic compounds, house dust mites, fungi and bacteria*, Institute for Environment and Health, Norwich.
- Kasper-Giebl, A. and Puxbaum, H., 1999. Deposition of particulate matter in diffusion tube samplers for the determination of NO₂ and SO₂. *Atmospheric Environment*, 33(8): 1323-1326.
- Kirby, C., Fox, M. and Waterhouse, J., 2000. Reliability of nitrogen dioxide passive diffusion tubes for ambient measurement: in situ properties of the triethanolamine absorbent. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 2(4): 307-312.
- Kirby, C., Fox, M., Waterhouse, J. & Drye, T., 2001. Influence of environmental parameters on the accuracy of nitrogen dioxide passive diffusion tubes for ambient measurement. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 3: 150-158.
- Kirby, C., Greig, A. and Drye, T., 1998. *Temporal and Spatial Variations in Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations Across an Urban Landscape*: Cambridge, UK. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 52(1 - 2): 65-82.
- Krochmal, D. and Górski, L., 1991. Determination of nitrogen dioxide in ambient air by use of passive sampling technique and triethanolamine as absorbent. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 25: 531-535.
- Krochmal, D. and Kalina, A., 1997a. Measurements of nitrogen dioxide and sulphur dioxide concentrations in urban and rural areas of Poland using a passive sampling method. *Environmental Pollution*, 96(3): 401-407.
- Krochmal, D. and Kalina, A., 1997b. A method of nitrogen dioxide and sulphur dioxide determination in ambient air by use of passive samplers and ion chromatography. *Atmospheric Environment*, 31(20): 3473-3479.
- Krupa, S.V. and Legge, A.H., 2000. Passive sampling of ambient, gaseous air pollutants: an assessment from an ecological perspective. *Environmental Pollution*, 107(1): 31-45.
- Laxen, D. and Noordally, E., 1987. Nitrogen dioxide distribution in street canyons. *Atmospheric Environment*, 21(9): 1899-1903.
- Laxen, D. and Wilson, P., 2002. *Compilation of diffusion tube collocation studies carried out by Local Authorities*, Air Quality Consultants Ltd., Bristol.
- Lebret, E. et al., 2000. Small area variations in ambient NO₂ concentrations in four European areas. *Atmospheric Environment*, 34(2): 177-185.
- Levaggi, D.A., Siu, W. and Feldstein, M., 1973. A new method for measuring average 24-hour nitrogen dioxide concentrations in the atmosphere. *Journal of the Air Pollution Control Association*, 23(1): 30-33.
- Lewis, R.G., Mulik, J.D., Coutant, R.W., Wooten, G.W. and McMillin, C.R., 1985. Thermally desorbable passive sampling device for volatile organic chemicals in ambient air. *Anal Chem*, 57: 214-219.

- Li, M., Zhang, X.X., Ying, L.M. and Zhao, X.S., 1999. Reaction mechanism of NO₂ determination by the TEA method. *Chemical Journal of Chinese Universities-Chinese*, 20(12): 1940-1944.
- Lindenboom, R.H. and Palmes, E.D., 1983. Effect of Reduced Atmospheric-Pressure on a Diffusional Sampler. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*, 44(2): 105-108.
- Loader, A., 2001. Investigation of the effects of preparation technique on performance of nitrogen dioxide diffusion tubes. AEAT/ENV/R/0563, AEA Technology, Abingdon.
- Loader, A., Mooney, D. and Bush, T., 2001. UK Nitrogen Dioxide Network 2000, AEA Technology, Culham.
- Loader, A., Mooney, D. and Bush, T., 2003. UK Nitrogen Dioxide Network 2001, AEA Technology, Culham.
- Loader, A., Mooney, D. and Bush, T., 2004. UK Nitrogen Dioxide Network 2002, AEA Technology, Culham.
- Longhurst, J.W.S., Lee, D., Gee, D. and Green, S., 1987. The Greater Manchester deposition survey: a presentation of data from Summer 1986, Acid Rain Information Centre, Manchester.
- Madany, I.M. and Danish, S., 1993. Spatial and Temporal Patterns in Nitrogen Dioxide Concentrations in a Hot Desert Region. *Atmospheric Environment Part A - General Topics*, 27(15): 2385-2391.
- Marcoux, N., Tessier, L. and Bégin, Y., 1998. Répartition estivale du dioxyde d'azote et de l'ozone dans une vallée alpine, Chamonix, France. *Géographie physique et Quaternaire*, 52: 1-9.
- Marnier, B.B. and Harrison, R.M., 2004. A spatially refined monitoring based study of atmospheric nitrogen deposition. *Atmospheric Environment*, 38(30): 5045-5056.
- Martin, N.A., Dedman, S.A., Gough, S., Henderson, M. and Goody, B.A., 2001. An international facility for the validation of diffusive and pumped samplers. *VAM Bulletin*, 25: 10-13.
- Martinez-Villa, G., Clemitshaw, K.C. and Marsh, A.R.W., 2000. Observations of nitrous acid at a kerbside site in central London, Workshop on Traffic Emissions, Roadway and Tunnel Studies, Vienna University of Technology.
- Massman, W.J., 1998. A review of the molecular diffusivities of H₂O, CO₂, CH₄, CO, O₃, SO₂, NH₃, N₂O, NO, and NO₂ in air, O₂ and N₂ near STP. *Atmospheric Environment*, 32(6): 1111-1127.
- McFadyen, G.G. and Cape, J.N., 2005. Peroxyacetyl nitrate in eastern Scotland. *Science of The Total Environment*, 337(1-3): 213-222.
- Melia, R.J.W., Du V. Florey, C., Darby, S.C., Palmes, E.D. and Goldstein, B.D., 1978. Differences in NO₂ levels in kitchens with gas or electric cookers. *Atmospheric Environment*, 12(6-7): 1379-1381.
- Miller, D.P., 1984. Ion chromatographic analysis of Palmes tubes for nitrite. *Atmospheric Environment*, 18(4): 891-892.
- Miller, D.P., 1988. Low-level determination of nitrogen dioxide in ambient air using the Palmes tube. *Atmospheric Environment*, 22(5): 945-947.
- Monn, C., 2001. Exposure assessment of air pollutants: a review on spatial heterogeneity and indoor/outdoor/personal exposure to suspended particulate matter, nitrogen dioxide and ozone. *Atmospheric Environment*, 35(1): 1-32.

- Moriske, H.J. et al., 1996a. Measurements of NO₂ concentrations in the air using passive Palmes samplers. 2. Field studies. *Gefahrstoffe Reinhaltung der Luft*, 56(5): 161-164.
- Moriske, H.J., Schondube, M., Menk, G. and Seifert, B., 1996b. Measurements of NO₂ concentrations in the air using passive Palmes samplers. 1. Laboratory studies and quality design. *Gefahrstoffe Reinhaltung der Luft*, 56(4): 129-132.
- Moschandreas, D.J., Relwani, S.M., Taylor, K.C. and Mulik, J.D., 1990. A laboratory evaluation of a nitrogen dioxide personal sampling device. *Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics*, 24(11): 2807-2811.
- Mulik, J.D., Lewis, R.G. and McClean, W.A., 1989. Modification of a high-efficiency passive sampler to determine nitrogen dioxide or formaldehyde in air. *Analytical Chemistry*, 61: 187-189.
- Navas, M.J., Jimenez, A.M. and Galan, G., 1997. Air analysis: determination of nitrogen compounds by chemiluminescence. *Atmospheric Environment*, 31(14): 3603-3608.
- Nishikawa, Y., Taguchi, K., Tsujino, Y. and Kuwata, K., 1986. Ion chromatographic determination of nitrogen dioxide in the atmosphere by using a triethanolamine-coated cartridge. *Journal of Chromatography*, 370: 121-129.
- Noy, D., Brunekreef, B., Boleij, J.S.M., Houthuijs, D. and De Koning, R., 1990. The assessment of personal exposure to nitrogen dioxide in epidemiological studies. *Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics*, 24(12): 2903-2909.
- Palmes, E.D., Burton, R.M., Ravishankar, K. and Solomon, J.J., 1986. A Simple Mathematical-Model for Diffusional Sampler Operation. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*, 47(7): 418-420.
- Palmes, E.D., Gunnison, A.F., DiMattio, J. and Tomczyk, C., 1976. Personal Sampler for Nitrogen Dioxide. *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.*, 37: 570-577.
- Palmes, E.D. and Johnson, E.R., 1987. Explanation of Pressure Effects on a Nitrogen-Dioxide (NO₂) Sampler. *American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal*, 48(1): 73-76.
- Palmes, E.D. and Tomczyk, C., 1979. Personal sampler for NO_x. *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.*, 40: 588-591.
- Perkauskas, D. and Mikeliniskiene, A., 1998. Evaluation of SO₂ and NO₂ concentration levels in Vilnius (Lithuania) using passive diffusion samplers. *Environmental Pollution*, 102: 249-252.
- Plaisance, H., 2004. Response of a Palmes tube at various fluctuations of concentration in ambient air. *Atmospheric Environment*, 38(36): 6115-6120.
- Plaisance, H., Piechocki-Minguy, A., Garcia-Fouque, S. and Galloo, J.C., 2004. Influence of meteorological factors on the NO₂ measurements by passive diffusion tube. *Atmospheric Environment*, 38(4): 573-580.
- Roorda-Knape, M.C. et al., 1998. Air pollution from traffic in city districts near major motorways. *Atmospheric Environment*, 32(11): 1921-1930.
- Røyset, O., 1998. Comparison of passive and active sampling methods for the determination of nitrogen dioxide in urban air. *Fresenius Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 360(1): 69-73.
- Sanz, M.J. and Krause, G., 2001. State of the art of diffusive sampling in the pan-European program for forest health protection. In: R.H. Brown, T.L. Hafkenscheid, K.J. Saunders, A. Borowiak and E. De Saeger (Editors), *Measuring Air Pollutants by Diffusive Sampling*. European Commission Joint research centre, Montpellier, France, pp. 10-15.

- Shooter, D. et al., 1997. Some characteristics and applications of nitrogen dioxide passive samplers. *Environmental Technology*, 18: 243-254.
- Sickles, J.E. et al., 1990. Development of a method for the sampling and analysis of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen dioxide from ambient air. *Analytical Chemistry*, 62: 338-346.
- Smith, R.I. et al., 1997. Deposition of atmospheric pollutants to the LOIS area. *Science of the Total Environment*, 194: 71-85.
- Smith, S., Bush, T., Stevenson, K. and Moorcroft, S., 1998. Validation of nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube methodology, SSE/AQ/0209. Stanger Science & Environment, Croydon, 15 + Appendices pp.
- Stevenson, K., Bush, T. and Mooney, D., 2001. Five years of nitrogen dioxide measurement with diffusion tube samplers at over 1000 sites in the UK. *Atmospheric Environment*, 35(2): 281-287.
- Stevenson, K. and Clark, T., 2003. Investigation of Air Pollution from Transport Operations at Heathrow Airport. ED48062/Issue 2, netcen, Culham.
- Tang, H., Lau, T., Brassard, B. and Cool, W., 1999. A new all-season passive sampling system for monitoring NO₂ in air. *Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology*, 3: 338-345.
- Tang, Y.S., Cape, J.N. and Sutton, M.A., 2001. Development and types of passive samplers for monitoring atmospheric NO₂ and NH₃ concentrations. *The Scientific World*, 1: 513-529.
- Tang, Y.S. et al., 2002. Test and validation of passive diffusion sampling methods for long-term ambient monitoring of NO₂ and NH₃ concentrations as part of the BIOROVER project: Interim report to SEERAD, Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Penicuik.
- van Reeuwijk, H. et al., 1998. Field comparison of two NO₂ passive samplers to assess spatial variation. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 50: 37-51.
- Vinjamoori, D.V. and Ling, C.-S., 1981. Personal monitoring method for nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide with solid sorbent sampling and ion chromatographic determination. *Analytical Chemistry*, 53: 1689-1691.
- Wei, Y., Oshima, M., Simon, J. and Motomizu, S., 2002. The application of the chromatomembrane cell for the absorptive sampling of nitrogen dioxide followed by continuous determination of nitrite using a micro-flow injection system. *Talanta*, 57: 355-364.
- Winer, A.M., Peters, J.W., Smith, J.P. and Pitts, J.N., 1974. Response of commercial chemiluminescent NO-NO₂ analyzers to other nitrogen-containing compounds. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 8(13): 1118-1121.
- Yamada, E., Kimura, M., Tomozawa, K. and Fuse, Y., 1999. Simple analysis of atmospheric NO₂, SO₂, and O₃ in mountains by using passive samplers. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 33(23): 4141-4145.