



# QA/QC Data Ratification Report for the Automatic Urban and Rural Network, April-June 2007

Report produced for the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Scottish Government, Welsh Assembly Government and the DoE in Northern Ireland

Unrestricted AEAT/ENV/R/2516 Issue 1

Title	QA/QC Data Ratification Report for the Automatic Urban and Rural Network, April-June 2007					
Customer	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, Scottish Government, Welsh Assembly Government and the DoE in Northern Ireland					
Customer reference	RMP 1883					
Confidentiality, copyright and reproduction	Unrestricted Copyright AEA Technology plc All rights reserved. Enquiries about copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Commercial Manager, AEA Technology Environment.					
File reference	AEAT/ENV/R/25	516 Issue 1				
Reference number	ED45077					
	AEA Energy & Er Building 551.11 Harwell Didcot Oxfordshire OX11 0QJ tel: 0870 190 646 fax: 0870 190 63 AEA Energy & Er AEA Energy & Er	nvironment 65 677 nvironment is a business name of AEA Technology plc. nvironment is certificated to ISO9001 and ISO14001.				
Author	Name	Stewart Eaton				
Approved by	Name	Ken Stevenson				
	Signature	K Sthenson				
	Date	December 2007				

# **Executive Summary**

AEA carries out the quality assurance and control (QA/QC) activities for the Automatic Urban and Rural Monitoring Network (AURN) on behalf of the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), Scottish Government, Welsh Assembly Government and DoE in Northern Ireland.

Ratified hourly average data capture for the network averaged 94.0% for all pollutants ( $O_3$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $SO_2$ , CO,  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ ) during the 3-month reporting period April-June 2007. Data capture rates for all pollutants were above 90%. There were 19 sites with data capture less than 90% for the period, of which 8 are classified as critical for the First, Second or Third Daughter Directives.

The number of monitoring sites in the AURN is now 130, of which 63 are Local Authority owned sites affiliated to the national network.

Although overall network data capture was reasonably high at 94.0%, there were a number of critical site/analysers that missed the 90% threshold. The main reasons for data loss at these sites have been provided and these were predominantly due to instrument faults, response instability or sites out of service for relocation or refurbishment. A summary of recommendations given in this report to help improve network performance is given in Appendix A4.

The first phase of conversion of TEOMs to FDMS is now complete, and there are now 23 FDMS TEOMs in the network. Performance has been good, and the QA/QC Unit has developed ratification and intercalibration tests to ensure high data quality. Two new BAM  $PM_{10}$  analysers have been installed at Wrexham and Inverness.

# **Table of contents**

1	Introducti	on1
	1.1	Recent Changes in the Network 1
	1.2	TEOM Upgrades and BAM Installations 1
	1.3	Overview of Network Performance 3
	1.4	LSO Manual 4
	1.5	AURN Hub Updates 4
2	Generic D	ata Quality Issues
	2.1	Data Capture for Critical Sites in Zones and Agglomerations 5
	2.2	Gravimetric PM <sub>10</sub> and PM <sub>2.5</sub> Data Ratification 6
	2.3	Auto-Calibration Run-ons 7
3	Site Spec	fic Issues9
	3.1	Swansea Roadside PM <sub>10</sub> 9
	3.2	Fort William 10
	3.3	Auchencorth Moss 10
	3.4	Other Analysers Highlighted in Recent Reports 10
4	Sites with	Data Capture Below 90% 11
	4.1	Sites with Low Data Capture 11
5	Ratified D	ata Capture Statistics 16

Appendix A1	Recommendations for replacing or up-grading equipment
Appendix A2	List of critical sites in the AURN.
Appendix A3	Inventory of Department-owned equipment used by QA/QC Unit.
Appendix A4	Summary of recommendations
Appendix A5	Partisol Data Ratification Report

# **1** Introduction

This quarterly report covers the Quality Assurance and Control (QA/QC) activities undertaken by AEA to ratify automatic monitoring data from Defra and the Devolved Administrations' urban and rural air quality monitoring network (AURN) for the period April-June 2007. During this period there were 130 monitoring sites in the Network of which there are 91 urban sites, 25 rural sites and a further 14 sites in the London Air Quality Monitoring Network (LAQN) which are affiliated into the national network. There are currently 67 Defra-funded sites and 63 affiliate sites. Four sites (Belfast Clara Street, Northampton  $PM_{10}$ , Wrexham  $PM_{10}$  and Brighton Roadside  $PM_{10}$ ) measure  $PM_{10}$  only and are included as individual sites in the total of 130, although Northampton  $PM_{10}$  is co-located with the Northampton AURN site, Wrexham  $PM_{10}$  with the Wrexham AURN site, and Brighton Roadside  $PM_{10}$  is close to the Brighton Roadside AURN site.

### **1.1 Recent Changes in the Network**

This section gives an overview of the main changes that have recently taken place in the network, including site closures, relocations or the addition of any new sites to the network. A summary of changes in the AURN for the period is given in Table 1.1.

#### Table 1.1 Changes in the Network, April-June 2007

Site	Date closed	Date commissioned	Comments
Inverness PM <sub>10</sub>		1 May 2007	BAM analyser collocated with existing Partisol

The QA/QC unit has also liased closely with the CMCU to update the LSO manual for Partisol and FDMS analysers and LSOs with these analysers at their sites should now follow these new procedures.

Further details of these network changes, which are undertaken in close co-operation with Bureau Veritas and the relevant Local Authorities, are given in the following sections.

### **1.2 TEOM Upgrades and BAM Installations**

The upgrade of TEOM analysers to FDMS (Filter Dynamic Measurement System) has been taking place since the first quarter of 2007. The first such analysers were installed at the new affiliate site at Swansea Roadside during September 2006. In addition, two PM<sub>10</sub> BAM analysers have been installed at Inverness (April 2007) and Wrexham, which already have Partisol analysers.

As of 1 November, there are 23 FDMS units operating in the AURN; the installation dates are given in Table 1.2

#### Table 1.2 List of FDMS Upgrades

Site Name	Defra / Affil	Installation date	Upgrade (U) or	Start of data on Archive
			New (N)	
Birmingham Centre	Defra	8 March	Ν	08/03/2007
Blackpool Marton	Defra	5 June	U	05/06/2007
Bristol St Paul's	Defra	13 Feb	U	13/02/2007
Cardiff Centre	Defra	19 Feb	U	19/02/2007
Coventry Memorial Park	Defra	7 March	U	07/03/2007
Hull Freetown	Defra	20 Feb	U	20/02/2007
Leicester Centre	Defra	28 March	U	28/03/2007
Liverpool Speke	Defra	14 March	U	14/03/2007
Manchester Piccadilly	Defra	15 March	Ν	15/03/2007
Newcastle Centre	Defra	21 Feb	U	21/02/2007
Nottingham Centre	Defra	27 March	N	27/03/2007
Plymouth Centre	Defra	1 March	U	01/03/2007
Port Talbot	Affil	13 Feb	U	13/02/2007
Preston	Defra	5 June	U	05/06/2007
Reading New Town	Defra	6 March	U	06/03/2007
Swansea Roadside	Affil	See Note 1	Ν	20/09/2006
Southampton Centre	Defra	2 April	U	02/04/2007
	<b>-</b> <i>i</i>	<b>4 4</b> 11		12/04/2007 (see
Southend-on-Sea	Defra	4 April	U	Note 2)
Stoke-on-Trent Centre	Defra	12 June	U	12/06/2007
Wolverhampton Centre	Defra	13 June	N	13/06/2007
Sheffield Centre	Defra	19 June	U	19/06/2007
Wirral Tranmere	Defra	5 July	U	16/07/2007
Edinburgh St Leonards	Defra	10 July	U	10/07/2007

1] Swansea FDMS was installed by the authority on 20 September 2006. This was the first FMDS unit to be deployed in the network. Problems with the unit have persisted since its installation and questions surrounding the quality of data have arisen.

2] Commissioning audit completed in 12 April, which raised issues regarding noise of the data following LSO filter change. Additional problems encountered with regards to temperature instability. Data have been edited to reflect periods of uncertainty in quality of measurements.

A full description of the ratification procedures for FDMS data is given in the 2006 QA/QC Annual Report.

Table 1.3 provides a summary of the installation of two additional PM<sub>10</sub> Beta Attenuation Monitors (BAMs) into the network. The Wrexham BAM was installed during Q1 2007.

#### Table 1.3 BAM Installations

Site Name	Defra / Affil	Installation date	Start of data on Archive
Inverness	Defra	11 April	01/05/2007

### **1.3 Overview of Network Performance**

Ratified hourly average data capture for the network averaged 94.0% for all pollutants ( $O_3$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $SO_2$ , CO,  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ ) during the 3-month reporting period April-June 2007 (see Table 1.4 below). All pollutants were 90% or higher data capture.

# Table 1.4AURN Ratified Data Capture (%) by Quarter, 2007<br/>(Using the start date of any new site)

Data Capture (%)	СО	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Network Average
Q1 Jan-Mar 2007	92.7	88.6	92.7	91.7	95.2	88.3	90.7
Q2 Apr-June 2007	93.2	93.5	96.4	94.8	95.6	91.6	94.0

Overall, 376 out of the 436 analysers (79%) achieved data capture levels above the required 90% target during this reporting period (See Table 1.5).

#### Table 1.5 Number of Analysers with Data Capture below 90%

Total Num Of Analys	nber ers	Q1 Jan-Mar 2007 (No. below 90%)	Q2 Apr-Jun 2007 (No. below 90%)
CO	78	16	14
NO <sub>2</sub>	111	23	16
O <sub>3</sub>	91	13	6
PM <sub>10</sub>	74*	15	10
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	6*	1	1
SO <sub>2</sub>	76	25	13
Total <90%	436	93	60

\*Includes TEOM, TEOM FDMS, BAM and Partisol analysers

In total, 20 out of the 130 operational network sites in the quarter (15%) had an average data capture rate below the required 90% level for the April-June 2007 period. These sites are listed in Table 1.6. The main site operational and QA/QC issues giving rise to data capture below the required 90% level are summarised in Section 4. A summary of the main recommendations made in this report to help improve network performance is given in Appendix A4.

# Table 1.6Sites with Average Data Capture < 90%, April-June 2007<br/>(Data capture calculated from site start date)

Just sites with average data capture < 90%

Site	Owner	Site Average
England		
Barnsley Gawber	Affiliate	89.7
Bolton	Affiliate	55.6
Bury Roadside	Affiliate	32.3
London Harlington	Affiliate	89.7
London Southwark	Affiliate	89.4

Site	Owner	Site Average
London Teddington	Affiliate	88.3
Manchester South	Affiliate	87.9
Oxford Centre Roadside	Affiliate	83.6
Redcar	Affiliate	83.3
Southwark Roadside	Affiliate	0.0
Tower Hamlets Roadside	Affiliate	62.9
Walsall Willenhall	Affiliate	88.5
West London	DEFRA	89.7
Ireland		
N Ireland		
Scotland		
Auchencorth Moss	DEFRA	49.9
Fort William	DEFRA	83.2
Inverness PM <sub>10</sub>	DEFRA	88.9
Strath Vaich	DEFRA	80.2
Wales		
Cwmbran	Affiliate	83.1
Wrexham	DEFRA	86.1
Wrexham PM <sub>10</sub>	DEFRA	82.9

### 1.4 LSO Manual

As noted in Section 1.1, the LSO Manual has been updated to include a section on the TEOM FDMS analysers. In addition, the Partisol section of the manual has been updated. LSOs with these analysers at their site should now use the new version of the manual.

Copies of the original Local Site Operator's manual on disc (CD) were distributed to the network participants at the annual LSO meeting in December 2004. Copies of the new TEOM FDMS and Partisol sections will be distributed to the relevant LSOs as these analysers are installed into the network. If LSOs have not received a copy of the manual or further copies are required please contact <u>Andy.Cook@aeat.co.uk</u>. The manual, including the new TEOM and FDMS sections is available electronically on the following web sites:

AURN Hub http://www.aeat.co.uk/com/AURNHUB/Isoman.html Air Quality Archive http://www.aeat.co.uk/netcen/airqual/reports/Isoman/Isoman.html

## 1.5 AURN Hub Updates

The AURN project information hub website is located at<sup>1</sup>: <u>http://www.aeat.co.uk/com/AURNHUB/index.html.</u>

The site is regularly up-dated and some of the more recent information includes:

- Up-dated site lists and critical site list (September 2007)
- Monthly PM<sub>10</sub> (Gravimetric) exceedences up to September 2007
- QA/QC Unit's Data Ratification and Intercalibration Report, January-March 2007
- Recent Management Unit reports (April-June 2007)
- Updated version of the LSO manual

The Hub has continued to provide a valuable source of information for interested organisations-see Figure 1.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Password protected site: username and password available from stephen.bird@aeat.co.uk

#### Figure 1.4 AURN Hub Monthly Usage Statistics January-September 2007



Total Hits on AURN Hub for 2007

# 2 Generic Data Quality Issues

# 2.1 Data Capture for Critical Sites in Zones and Agglomerations

In order to meet the requirements of the Daughter Directives, any zone or agglomeration<sup>2</sup> with an exceedence of the limit value must be formally reported to the Commission. The critical sites are those which, if data capture falls below 90%, there will be insufficient data for the whole zone or agglomeration. In most cases the critical sites are those where there is only one site in the zone or agglomeration. However, for some pollutants (especially ozone) monitoring is required at several sites in each zone or agglomeration and hence these may all need to be classified as critical sites for that pollutant. The list of the critical sites in the Network necessary to meet the requirements of the first, second and third Daughter Directives is given in Appendix A2. In total 63 sites (195 analysers) have been identified as critical for DD1, DD2 or DD3 (25 sites in agglomerations and 37 in zones).

Data capture for all 63 of the critical sites during the 3-month period April-June 2007 is given in Section 5, Table 5.2. The critical sites with less than 90% total data capture and the main reasons for data loss at these sites are given in Table 2.1 below. In total, 27 out of the 196 critical site analysers (15%) did not meet the required 90% data capture during the period April-June 2007. Note that some critical sites also measure other pollutants, which are not themselves critical.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A definition of zones and agglomerations can be found under "Article 5 Assessment Zones and Agglomerations Monitoring

Maps" at http://www.defra.gov.uk/environment/airquality/index.htm

#### Table 2.1 Critical sites with <90% data capture, April-June 2007</th>

Network Data Capture for 01/04/2007 to 30/06/2007 from start date of any new site sites with average data capture < 90%

Site	CO	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site Average	Principal reason for data loss
England							
Barnsley	89.3	-	85.8	94.1	89.8	89.7	Flow sensor and logger faults
Gawber							
Oxford Centre	53.5	-	98.2	-	99.3	83.6	Poor quality CO data following
Roadside							service
Scotland							
Fort William	-	-	87.0	79.4	-	83.2	Frequent power failures
Inverness PM <sub>10</sub>	-	88.9	-	-	-	88.9	Instrument installed 1 May 2007
Strath Vaich	-	-	-	80.2	-	80.2	Frequent analyser failures and
							replacements
Wales							
Cwmbran	100.0	99.8	96.7	100.0	19.1	83.1	Unstable SO <sub>2</sub> analyser
Wrexham	86.5	90.1	82.7	-	85.2	86.1	Power cut
Wrexham PM <sub>10</sub>	-	82.9	-	-	-	82.9	BAM tape fault

Shaded boxes are for data capture < 90% Bold data captures are for critical instruments and sites

Recommendation

Every effort should be made to ensure that data capture is maximised for the critical sites. LSOs and ESUs should undertake call-outs and repairs as soon as possible to avoid unnecessary data loss at these sites.

### 2.2 Gravimetric PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Data Ratification

Gravimetric  $PM_{10}$  analysers (Partisols) are located at eight sites in the network (Bournemouth, Northampton, Wrexham, Dumfries, Inverness, London Westminster, Auchencorth Moss ( $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ ) and Brighton Roadside  $PM_{10}$ ).

Data capture for the gravimetric  $PM_{10}$  (Partisol) analysers for the period April-June 2007 is given in Table 2.3. Eight of the nine sites for which data are available reached or exceeded the 90% data capture target in this quarter, with average data capture over all eight analysers of 96%.

Site	3-months Data Capture
	(%)
	April-June 2007
Auchencorth Moss PM <sub>10</sub>	0
Auchencorth Moss PM <sub>2.5</sub>	99
Bournemouth	100
Brighton Roadside PM <sub>10</sub>	100
London Westminster	100
Northampton PM <sub>10</sub>	90
Dumfries	95
Inverness	89
Wrexham	91

#### Table 2.3 Gravimetric PM<sub>10</sub> Data Capture (%) April-June 2007

The reasons for data loss in the gravimetric analysers are given in Appendix A5. Auchencorth Moss  $PM_{10}$  was erroneously configured to measure  $PM_{2.5}$  since installation in 2006-see Section 3.3.

Bureau Veritas has supplied the measured data, undertaken the filter weighing and calculated the particulate concentrations; AEA has ratified the results.

Recommendation

The Partisol at Northampton requires attention to prevent frequent filter exchange failures

### 2.3 Auto-Calibration Run-ons

Autocalibration "run-on" is a generic problem affecting many analysers in the network and is due to autocalibration gas leaking into the sampling system during the ambient measurement period immediately after the autocalibration cycle. The problem can be identified by examining the diurnal variation of pollutant concentrations for the individual sites. Invalid measurements (usually between 01:30 and 02:00) have been removed during data ratification. This can be a serious source of data loss resulting in one hour out of twenty four being deleted, which is 4% of the annual data capture. At some sites significantly more data are being lost resulting in data capture below the 90% data capture target for the period.

The ESUs have investigated the autocalibration run-ons at many of the sites and tried different ways to resolve the problem including thorough cleaning of the solenoid valves and installation of Permapure or silica gel driers. In most cases this has improved the situation but it has not always eliminated the problem completely.

The 28 sites (29 analysers) showing continuing problems with the autocalibration run-on during April-June 2007 are given in Table 2.5. Any autocalibration run-on data that look visibly significant have been deleted from these data sets during ratification. There has been a notable improvement in the number of sites adversely affected by autocalibration faults during this quarter, and the efforts of the ESUs to achieve this are acknowledged.

Table 2.5

Estimate of Spike or Dip due to Auto-calibration Run-on: April-June

		Run-On	Autocal		
Site	Pollutant	Conc	Conc	Hours lost	<b>F</b> i 101
Aberdeen	NO <sub>2</sub>	4	200	1	Fixed 6 June
Aston Hill	NO <sub>2</sub>	2.5	50	1	
Barnsley Gawber	NO <sub>2</sub>	2	200	1	
Birmingham Centre	NO <sub>2</sub>	3	450	1	
Bolton	NO <sub>2</sub>	8	600	1	
Bournemouth	NO <sub>2</sub>	2	600	1	
Bury Roadside	NO <sub>2</sub>	5	350	1	
Eskdalemuir	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.6	500	2	
Fort William	NO <sub>2</sub>	4	350	1	
Harwell	NO <sub>2</sub>	0.7	200	1	
Hove Roadside	NO <sub>2</sub>	3	450	1	
Hull Freetown	NO <sub>2</sub>	2	200	1	
Inverness	NO <sub>2</sub>	1	250	1	
Liverpool Speke	NO <sub>2</sub>	2	250	1	
London Brent	NO <sub>2</sub>	2	466	1	
London Eltham	NO <sub>2</sub>	2	100	1	
London Westminster	NO <sub>2</sub>	3	412	1	
Lullington Heath	NO <sub>2</sub>	1.5	300	1	
Newcastle Centre	NO <sub>2</sub>	3	300	1	
Redcar	NO <sub>2</sub>	2	300	1	
Somerton	NO <sub>2</sub>	1	229	2	
Southampton Centre	NO <sub>2</sub>	9	210	1	
Stockport Shaw Heath	NO <sub>2</sub>	4	1100	1	
Thurrock	NO <sub>2</sub>	5	400	1	
Walsall Willenhall	NO <sub>2</sub>	2	550	1	
Wrexham	NO <sub>2</sub>	4	350	1	
London Southwark	CO	-2		4	
Aberdeen	SO <sub>2</sub>	0	500	1	
Barnsley Gawber	SO <sub>2</sub>	-1	250	1	Timing issue. Still problem after Aug service
Blackpool Marton	SO <sub>2</sub>	0	250	1	
Bradford Centre	$SO_2$	-1	500	1	Timing issue. Still problem after Aug service
London Southwark	$SO_2$	0	800	4	
London Westminster	SO <sub>2</sub>	0	450	1	
Preston	SO <sub>2</sub>	-1	500	1	Timing issue. Still problem after Aug service
Thurrock	SO <sub>2</sub>	0	500	1	
Wirral Tranmere	$SO_2$	-1	500	1	Timing issue. OK in Sept

#### Recommendations

ESU to investigate and minimise effect where possible, especially at sites with large autocalibration run-ons or where data loss is in excess of 1 hour.

QA/QC Unit and CMCU have held meetings with the Equipment Support Units to discuss the autocalibration run-ons and to identify ways to resolve the problem. Solutions to the problems have been identified in many cases, and the necessary hardware upgrades are being installed either at routine services, or through call-outs.

London Southwark (CO and SO<sub>2</sub>) and Eskdalemuir should be prioritised as at least 2 hours per day are being lost at these sites. These sites have been highlighted as a priority in previous reports.

In the meantime, we recommend that the autocalibration devices be adjusted at the problem sites to reduce the concentration of the span gas. It is strongly advised that  $NO_2$  autocalibration span concentrations of less than 200ppb (urban sites) and 100ppb (rural sites) are used throughout the network.

# **3** Site Specific Issues

### 3.1 Swansea Roadside PM<sub>10</sub>

The Swansea Roadside site was the first in the network to receive FDMS analysers (for  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ ). The analysers have suffered from a variety of problems since installation, and some data have been lost as a result. The  $PM_{10}$  has had most problems since 1 January, and is a critical site; data capture for the first 6 months of 2007 was 66%

The raw data for PM<sub>10</sub>, volatile mass concentration and non-volatile mass concentration are shown in Figure 3.1 overleaf:





The analyser has shown periods of very high noise, which have been deleted by the QA/QC Unit during ratification. The QA/QC unit is not in possession of documentation relating to the faults at this site.

### 3.2 Fort William

The Fort William site has suffered from numerous power failures, sometimes on just the NOx analyser. Data capture for NOx was 87%, with 14 separate power failures of 6 hours or more. The fault was traced to a loose connection in the cabin thermostat, and this was repaired on 10 September.

### 3.3 Auchencorth Moss

At a routine visit to the site on 13 August, it was noticed that both Partisols were measuring  $PM_{2.5}$ , rather than one each for  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ . It is likely this has been the case since commencement of monitoring in 2006. All data from this analyser have now been deleted.

### 3.4 Other Analysers Highlighted in Recent Reports

Several analysers have been highlighted recently as being of concern to the QA/QC unit. An update is given in Table 3.3.

Site	Analyser	Fault	Current status
Bolton	NOx and SO2	Various faults	Poor data capture in Q2. NOx converter setting in software incorrect; data deleted to September 2007.
Leamington Spa	NOx	Various faults	Now fixed
Narberth	All	PC logger failure	Now fixed
Weybourne	O <sub>3</sub>	No manual calibrations or IZS	No progress reported
Rural CO analysers	CO	Baseline drift	Drift still evident
Narberth	O <sub>3</sub>	Leak	Quality of $O_3$ data still uncertain; significant outlier at summer 2006 and winter 2007 audits. Installation of duplicate analyser still awaited.
Various	Rural ozone analysers	Temporary instruments installed some of which have no autocals	Two analysers have been upgraded by the manufacturer and are currently under test by the ESU.

#### Table 3.3 Status of Analysers Highlighted in Previous Reports

#### Recommendation

QA/QC Unit would like to seek clarification from the Equipment Support Unit/manufacturer as to the current situation regarding the reason for the problems and what plans are in place to resolve them. We recommend that immediate attention is given to the outstanding issues as the majority of these instruments are located at critical sites.

# 4 Sites with Data Capture Below 90%

### 4.1 Sites with Low Data Capture

The following section provides a summary of the main site analyser operational problems, which have resulted in data capture below the required 90% level during the reporting period April-June 2007 (Table 4.1). The number of days and hours of data lost for each cause is also given. In some cases the data gap extends beyond this three-month reporting period.

#### Table 4.1Sites with data capture below 90% April-June 2007

(Using the start date of any new site or end date of site closed)

Pollutant	Data Capture (%)	Start date	End date	Reason	Comments	Number of days	Number of hours
England							
Barnsley	Gawber						
CO	89.30%	15-May-07	16-May-07	Power cut		0.6	15
		23-May-07	24-May-07	Low flow rate	NOX flow sensor fault	1	25
		18-Jun-07	25-Jun-07	Unstable response	ENG C/O	7	168
NO2	85.80%	15-May-07	16-May-07	Power cut		0.7	16
		20-May-07	24-May-07	Unstable response	Unstable prior to fault	4.9	118

Pollutant	Data Capture (%)	Start date	End date	Reason	Comments	Number	Number of hours
		22-Jun-07	25-Jun-07	Logger fault	PC logger problems	2.8	66
SO2	89.80%	15-May-07	16-May-07	Power cut		0.8	19
		23-May-07	24-May-07	Low flow rate	flow sensor problems	1	25
		22-Jun-07	25-Jun-07	Logger fault	PC logger replaced	2.8	66
Birmingha	am Centre						
SO2	82.80%	3-Apr-07	4-Apr-07	Instrument fault	Left on wrong range after visit	1	25
		15-May-07	29-May-07	Sampling fault	ENG C/O No response. Fixed leaking filter	14	336
		30-May-07	30-May-07	Power cut	noidei	0.4	9
Dirminah	m Tuburn						
SO2	ani iyouni 83.20%	3-May-07	17-May-07	Sampling fault	Successful compliant	1/1	330
302	03.20/0	5 5-1viay-07	17-iviay-07	Sampling laut	issue	14.1	339
		3-Jun-07	4-Jun-07	Power cut		0.9	22
Bolton	00.000/	10 4 07	10 4 07	la star and found			7
CO	63.30%	13-Apr-07	13-Apr-07	Instrument fault	Unstable data	0.3	/
		14-iviay-07	15-May-07	Unstable response	data - sliding baseline	0.8	18
		1-Jun-07	1-Jun-07	No mV data collected	PC Logger fault	0.8	19
		2-Jun-07	9-Aug-07	No mV data collected	PC Logger fault	68.7	1648
NO2	34.20%	1-Jan-07	1-May-07	ESU service		120	2882
		2-Jun-07	2-Jun-07	No mV data collected	Logger fault	0.3	7
		3-Jun-07	9-Aug-07	No mV data collected	PC Logger fault	67.4	1618
O3	66.40%	13-Apr-07	13-Apr-07	No mV data collected	No Data collected	0.3	7
		3-Jun-07	9-Aug-07	No mV data collected	PC Logger fault	67.4	1618
PM10	66.50%	13-Apr-07	13-Apr-07	No mV data collected	PC Logger fault	0.3	7
		3-Jun-07	9-Aug-07	No mV data collected	PC Logger fault	67.4	1618
SO2	47.40%	1-Mar-07	20-Apr-07	Instrument fault	Cooler problem	50.5	1213
		3-Jun-07	9-Aug-07	No mV data collected	PC Logger fault	67.4	1618
Dura Da a	-l-:-l-						
Bury Roa		9 Apr 07	9 Apr 07	No m/ data collected		0.0	10
00	40.00%	6 6-Apr-07	8-Api-07	No my data collected	DC learner feult	0.0	10
		0-101ay-07	0-1v1ay-07	Communication fault	PC logger fault	0.3	1227
NO2	44 00%	9 Apr 07	0-Jui-07		PC logger fault	00.0	1027
NO2	44.00 /d	8 May 07	8 May 07		PC logger fault	0.0	10
		12 May 07	0-iviay-07	Communication fault	PC logger fault	55.6	1225
$\cap$	46 20%	9 Apr 07	8 Apr 07		PC logger fault	0.0	1000
03	40.20/d	8 May 07	8 May 07		PC logger fault	0.0	10
		0-101ay-07	0-iviay-07		PC logger fault	55.2	1220
DM10	05 E0%	14-iviay-07	0-Jui-07		PC logger fault	00.0	1020
FINITO	25.50%	0-Apr-07	8-Api-07	No my data collected	PC logger fault	0.0	1700
800	0.00%	20-Apr-07	8-Jul-07		PC logger fault	100	0540
302	0.00%	24-IVIAI-07	8-Jui-07	Logger laun	FC logger lault	106	2040
Harwell							
	71.50%	4-Mar-07	26-Apr-07	Instrument fault	ENG C/O Beplaced	53 5	1283
00	71.0076		20-Api-07	monument raun	faulty switching valve	55.5	1200
Leicester	Centre	_					
PM10	55.60%	7-May-07	31-May-07	High noise	Noisy data	25	600
		11-Jun-07	21-Jun-07	High noise	Noisy data	10	239
		21-Jun-07	26-Jun-07	Unstable response	Nulling of erratic data	5.2	124

Pollutant Data Cap London Bloom	a iture (%) sburv	Start date	End date	Reason	Comments	Number of days	Number of hours
CO	88.80%	5 1-May-07	7-May-07	Instrument fault	Eng c/o as analyser is	7	168
		28-Jun-07	31-Jul-07	Monitoring suspended	unstable. ENG C/O Decommisioned site. No cals	33.6	806
London Eltham	ı						
PM10	78.10%	5-Feb-07	19-Apr-07	Instrument fault	Water found inside sensor housing-roof leaking.	72.8	1748
London Harling	gton						
CO	88.10%	3-Jun-07	14-Jun-07	Manifold fault	Blocked inlet manifold	10.7	257
NO2	87.90%	3-Jun-07	14-Jun-07	Manifold fault	Blocked inlet manifold	10.7	257
O3	84.40%	3-Jun-07	14-Jun-07	Manifold fault	Blocked inlet manifold	10.7	257
London Lewish	nam						
NO2	86.20%	2-Apr-07	10-Apr-07	Instrument fault	Converter temperature	8.4	202
		28-May-07	31-May-07	Sampling fault	failure NO cylinder venting	3.5	84
London South	wark						
CO	79.10%	5 14-Apr-07	19-Apr-07	Unstable response	Poor analyser response between LSO visits	5	119
SO2	80.10%	5 14-Jun-07	18-Jun-07	Pump fault	ENG C/O Pump seized. Replaced with external pump	4	97
l ondon Teddir	naton						
SO2	65.80%	2-May-07	1-Jun-07	Instrument fault	LSO turned instrument off because of noisy bearings	30.6	735
London Westm	ninster						
CO	84.10%	22-May-07	5-Jun-07	Air Conditioning fault	Deleted unstable data	14.1	338
NO2	86.60%	25-May-07	4-Jun-07	Air Conditioning fault	Air con fault	9.7	232
SO2	84.30%	29-Mar-07	5-Apr-07	Low flow rate	Unstable data deleted	7.5	179
		29-May-07	4-Jun-07	Air Conditioning fault	Air con faults	6.1	147
Manchester Sc	outh						
NO2	68 70%	1-May-07	17-May-07	Instrument fault	03 generator replaced	16.3	391
1102	00.707	29-May-07	5-Jun-07	NO2 converter fault	Converter leak	7.3	175
		26-Jun-07	11-Jul-07	No calibrations	Analyser drifting	15	360
Oxford	Contro						
Roadside	Gentre	•					
CO	53.50%	2-Apr-07	14-May-07	Instrument fault	Spurious increase in baseline.	42.1	1011
Plymouth Cent		10 Mar 07	17 4 07	Linetable veenance		00	007
0	81.40%	5 19-Mar-07	17-Apr-07	Unstable response	causing CO to be noisy	29	697
Redcar							
СО	46.30%	2-Mar-07	17-May-07	ESU service	SERVICE All instruments showing temp warnings. Turned	76.1	1826
		2-Jun-07	3-Jun-07	Air Conditioning fault		0.8	18
		8-Jun-07	9-Jun-07	Air Conditioning fault		0.6	14

Pollutant	Data Capture (%)	Start date	End date	Reason	Comments	Number of days	Number of hours
		11-Jun-07	12-Jun-07	Air Conditioning fault		0.9	21
PM10	86.70%	5-Mar-07	12-Apr-07	' Air Conditioning fault		38.2	917
Southamp	ton Centre						
NO2	87.40%	15-Jun-07	18-Jun-07	Instrument fault	ENG C/O Bad electrical	3	73
		26-Jun-07	31-Jul-07	Instrument fault	connection Rejected by QA/QC unit.	35.5	852
Southwark	Roadside						
CO	0.00%	1-Jan-07	25-Aug-07	' Monitoring suspended	Site closed awaiting	237	5688
NO2	0.00%	1-Jan-07	25-Aug-07	Monitoring suspended	Site closed awaiting	237	5688
SO2	0.00%	1-Jan-07	25-Aug-07	' Monitoring suspended	relocation. Site closed awaiting relocation.	237	5688
Sunderlan	d Silksworth						
NO2	82.80%	21-May-07	6-Jun-07	Instrument fault	Internal power supply fault	15.5	372
Tower	Hamlets	;					
Roadside CO	85.80%	18-Jun-07	3-Aug-07	' Sampling fault	ENG C/O Found instrument not	45.6	1094
NO2	40.10%	6-May-07	29-Jun-07	Instrument fault	connected to sample line Multiple faults	54.4	1306
Walsall Wi	illenhall						
NO2	88.50%	21-Jun-07	29-Jun-07	No mV data collected	No Data after LSO Cal	8	191
West London CO	80.00%	5 13-Jun-07	5-Jul-07	' Sampling fault	Sample line not connected	21.9	525
Wicken							
Fen NO2	82.40%	7-Mar-07	16-Apr-07	' Unstable response	Analyser response drifting	40.2	964
N Ireland							
Belfast Ce	entre						
SO2	76.40%	3-Apr-07	5-Apr-07	'ESU service		2	49
		3-Jun-07	22-Jun-07	Instrument fault	Call out: Comms fault following power cut	19.1	458
Derry							
SO2	77.40%	31-Mar-07	2-Apr-07	' No mV data collected	Possible power cut	2.1	51
		12-Jun-07	3-Aug-07	' Flat response	Probable analyser fault, data very low	52.4	1258
Scotland							
Fort							
William NO2	87.00%	1-Apr-07	1-Apr-07	Power cut		0.3	6
		3-Apr-07	3-Apr-07	' Power cut		0.3	6
		27-Apr-07	27-Apr-07	' Power cut		0.3	8
		28-Apr-07	28-Apr-07	Power cut		0.3	7
		29-Apr-07	29-Apr-07	Power cut		0.3	7
		30-Apr-07	30-Apr-07	Power cut		0.3	8
		1-May-07	1-May-07	Power cut		0.3	8
		2-May-07	2-May-07	Power cut		0.3	8

Pollutant	Data	Start date	End date	Reason	Comments	Number	Number
	Capture (%)	3-Mav-07	3-Mav-07	Power cut		01 days	or nours 9
		4-May-07	4-May-07	Power cut		0.3	8
		15-May-07	16-May-07	Power cut		1	24
		5-Jun-07	5-Jun-07	Power cut		0.3	8
		6lun-07	6-Jun-07	Power cut		0.0	8
		9- Jun-07	9- Jun-07	Power cut		0.0	7
03	70 10%	5-0011-07	6-Apr-07	Power cut		1.0	33
05	75.4078	11 Apr 07	0-Api-07	Linetable reconnec		. 116	270
		TI-Api-07	23-Api-07	Unstable response	unstable	11.0	219
		27-Apr-07	27-Apr-07	Power cut		0.3	6
		30-Apr-07	30-Apr-07	Power cut		0.3	6
		1-May-07	1-May-07	Power cut		0.3	6
		2-May-07	2-May-07	Power cut		0.3	6
		3-May-07	3-May-07	Power cut		0.3	6
		15-May-07	16-May-07	Power cut		1	23
		6-Jun-07	6-Jun-07	Power cut		0.3	6
Glasgow (	Centre						
NO2	87.00%	17-Apr-07	28-Apr-07	Unstable data	Rapid drift after LSO	11.5	277
					VISIL		
Inverness	PM10						
PM10	88.90%	1-Jan-07	1-May-07		Site started	121	2904
	00.007	15-Jun-07	21-Jun-07	Instrument fault	Eng c/o. Tape had been	5.6	135
			21 0011 07	moti amont idan	installed wrong way	0.0	100
					around		
<b>O</b> 1 11							
Strath							
O3	80.20%	31-Mar-07	17-Apr-07	Unstable response	Eng c/o. Replaced very	17.4	418
					erratic instrument.		
		21-Jun-07	21-Jun-07	Instrument fault		0.4	9
		27-Jun-07	28-Jun-07	Instrument fault	Eng c/o. Erratic readings	0.9	21
					- mixing ppm and ppb. Reset instrument		
Wales							
Cwmbran							
SO2	19.10%	22-Jan-07	13-Jun-07	Rapid zero or sensitivity	Baseline drift and erratio	: 142	3411
				drift	response		
0	Decide						
Swansea	Roadside	01 14 07	01 1	Unatable menses	Emetic data fallouina		740
PM10	65.70%	21-May-07	21-Jun-07	Unstable response	Erratic data following	31.1	746
					unit		
PM25	83.90%	7-May-07	21-May-07	Unstable response	Erratic data nulled.	14	337
Wrexham							
CO	86.50%	4-Apr-07	16-Apr-07	Power cut	Call out: Station power	· 11.9	285
					failure since QA/QC	;	
NO2	82,70%	4-Apr-07	16-Apr-07	Power cut	Call out: Station power	11.9	286
1102	02.7070	, i, pi o,	10 / 10 /		failure since QA/QC	;	200
	05 000/			<b>-</b>	audit.		
SO2	85.20%	4-Apr-07	16-Apr-07	Power cut	failure since OA/OC	12.1	291
					audit.		
		12-Jun-07	12-Jun-07	Operator error	Left in cal mode.	0.3	6
Wrexham	PM10						
PM10	82.90%	4-Apr-07	16-Apr-07	Power cut	Call out: Station power	· 11.9	286
					failure since QA/QC	;	

Pollutant	Data Capture (%)	Start date	End date	Reason	Comments audit.	Number of days	Number of hours
		25-May-07	26-May-07	No mV data collected		0.6	14
		28-May-07	31-May-07	No mV data collected		3	71

# 5 Ratified Data Capture Statistics

Table 5.1 provides the ratified data capture figures for each site for the 3-month period April-June 2007. Data capture values below 90% are shown in the shaded boxes.

#### Table 5.1 Ratified Network Data Statistics: April-June 2007

Site	Owner	со	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>25</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site
England								Average
Eligianu Borpolov 10							07.7	07.7
Barnsley 12	DEFRA	-	-	-	-	-	97.7	97.7
Gawbar	Anniale	89.3	-	60.6	94.1	-	89.8	89.7
Bath Boadaida	Affiliato	05.4		07.9				06.6
Billinghom		95.4	-	97.0	-	-	-	90.0
Biimingham		-	-	90.0	-	-	-	96.6
Centre	DEFNA	99.4	90.9	90.5	99.5	-	02.0	95.4
Birmingham	Affiliate	98.4	97.9	98.4	98.0	-	83.2	95.2
Blackpool		09.9	08.2	08.6	08.8		94.4	07.9
Marton		90.0	90.2	90.0	90.0	-	54.4	97.0
Bolton	Affiliate	63.3	66.5	34.2	66.4	-	47.4	55.6
Bottesford	Affiliate	-	-	-	99.7	-	-	99.7
Bournemouth	Affiliate	99.6	100.0	95.2	100.0	-	99.7	98.9
Bradford	DEFRA	98.5	99.1	98.4	97.7	-	95.6	97.9
Centre								
Brentford	Affiliate	99.5	-	97.6	-	-	-	98.6
Roadside								
Brighton Broston Bark	DEFRA	-	-	95.2	95.2	-	-	95.2
Prighton	Affiliato	00.4		00.4				00.4
Roadside	Anniale	99.4	-	99.4	-	-	-	99.4
Brighton	Affiliate	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	100.0
Roadside PM <sub>10</sub>								
Bristol Old	Affiliate	99.9	-	98.9	-	-	-	99.4
Market								
Bristol St Paul's	DEFRA	98.6	95.1	98.2	98.4	-	98.9	97.8
Burv Roadside	Affiliate	46.0	25.5	44.0	46.2	-	0.0	32.3
Cambridge	Affiliate	-	-	98.7	-	-	-	98.7
Roadside								
Camden	Affiliate	-	99.5	94.0	-	-	-	96.8
Kerbside								
Canterbury	Affiliate	-	99.3	99.7	-	-	-	99.5
Coventry	DEFRA	99.6	99.3	99.6	99.6	-	99.6	99.6
Memorial Park								
Exeter	Affiliate	99.5	-	99.5	99.5	-	99.5	99.5
Roadside								

Network Data Capture for 01/04/2007 to 30/06/2007 from start date of any new site

Site	Owner	СО	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>25</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site Average
Glazebury	DEFRA	-	-	99.5	94.7	-	-	97.1
Great Dun Fell	DEFRA	-	-	-	97.8	-	-	97.8
Haringey Roadside	Affiliate	-	93.5	99.7	-	-	-	96.6
Harwell	DEFRA	-	99.5	96.5	71.5	99.5	93.7	92.2
High Muffles	DEFRA	-	-	99.0	99.3	-	-	99.2
Hove Roadside	Affiliate	99.5	-	96.7	-	-	99.5	98.6
Hull Freetown	DEFRA	93.1	99.7	95.4	99.6	-	99.6	97.5
Ladybower	DEFRA	-	-	99.5	99.5	-	98.7	99.2
Leamington Spa	Affiliate	98.4	99.6	94.6	98.3	-	97.2	97.6
Leeds Centre	DEFRA	98.6	99.6	98.7	99.5	-	98.7	99.0
Leicester Centre	DEFRA	99.5	55.6	99.3	99.4	-	99.6	90.7
Leominster	DEFRA	-	-	98.4	99.7	-	-	99.1
Liverpool Speke	DEFRA	99.5	99.8	95.2	99.5	-	99.5	98.7
London A3 Roadside	DEFRA	95.9	98.9	94.9	-	-	-	96.6
London Bexley	Affiliate	99.6	97.6	99.6	99.9	-	99.6	99.3
London Bloomsbury	DEFRA	88.8	96.5	96.3	95.9	96.5	96.6	95.1
London Brent	Affiliate	99.5	99.1	95.5	99.7	-	95.6	97.9
London Bromley	Affiliate	-	-	91.8	-	-	-	91.8
London Cromwell Boad 2	DEFRA	99.2	-	99.3	-	-	99.0	99.2
London	Affiliate	-	78.1	95.4	96.2	-	99.0	92.2
London Hackney	Affiliate	99.7	-	99.6	99.7	-	-	99.7
London Haringev	Affiliate	-	-	-	99.5	-	-	99.5
London Harlington	Affiliate	88.1	98.2	87.9	84.4	-	-	89.7
London Hillingdon	DEFRA	99.5	99.5	98.2	99.3	-	97.5	98.8
London Lewisham	Affiliate	-	-	86.2	99.6	-	99.6	95.1
London Marylebone Road	Affiliate	99.5	98.2	98.4	99.4	98.7	99.3	98.9
London N. Kensington	Affiliate	99.3	99.2	99.7	94.4	-	99.6	98.4
London Southwark	Affiliate	79.1	-	99.2	99.2	-	80.1	89.4
London Teddinaton	Affiliate	-	-	99.4	99.6	-	65.8	88.3
London Wandsworth	Affiliate	-	-	99.4	99.3	-	-	99.3
London Westminster	DEFRA	84.1	100.0	86.6	99.3	-	84.3	90.9
Lullington Heath	DEFRA	-	-	93.4	95.5	-	94.4	94.4
Manchester	DEFRA	96.5	99.6	99.5	99.5	-	96.1	98.2

Site	Owner	СО	PM <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>25</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site Average
Piccadilly								, tronago
Manchester South	Affiliate	-	-	68.7	97.1	-	98.0	87.9
Manchester Town Hall	DEFRA	98.5	-	98.4	-	-	-	98.4
Market	DEFRA	97.3	-	97.2	97.4	-	-	97.3
Middlesbrough	Affiliate	98.5	99.5	99.4	97.2	-	99.4	98.8
Newcastle	DEFRA	99.5	100.0	95.6	99.8	-	99.8	98.9
Northampton	Affiliate	99.7	96.3	99.7	99.7	-	99.7	99.0
Northampton PM <sub>10</sub>	Affiliate	-	90.1	-	-	-	-	90.1
Norwich Centre	DEFRA	99.5	99.0	99.6	99.3	-	99.5	99.4
Norwich Forum Roadside	Affiliate	-	-	90.8	-	-	-	90.8
Nottingham Centre	DEFRA	99.6	99.1	98.6	99.6	-	99.5	99.3
Oxford Centre Roadside	Affiliate	53.5	-	98.2	-	-	99.3	83.6
Plymouth Centre	DEFRA	81.4	99.5	99.5	99.7	-	99.6	95.9
Portsmouth	Affiliate	99.5	99.3	99.5	99.4	-	99.5	99.4
Preston	DEFRA	93.5	99.1	92.8	93.5	-	90.7	93.9
Reading New Town	DEFRA	96.2	96.2	96.2	96.6	-	96.2	96.3
Redcar	Affiliate	46.3	86.7	95.0	97.4	-	90.9	83.3
Rochester Stoke	Affiliate	-	98.1	98.5	98.5	99.5	98.5	98.6
Rotherham Centre	Affiliate	-	-	97.5	97.3	-	97.5	97.5
Salford Eccles	Affiliate	96.8	96.9	96.8	96.8	-	96.6	96.8
Sandwell West Bromwich	Affiliate	99.5	-	99.5	98.0	-	99.4	99.1
Scunthorpe Town	Affiliate	-	99.5	-	-	-	99.5	99.5
Sheffield Centre	DEFRA	97.7	98.3	93.8	98.3	-	94.6	96.5
Sheffield Tinsley	DEFRA	97.8	-	97.8	-	-	-	97.8
Sibton	DEFRA	-	-	-	93.8	-	-	93.8
Somerton	Affiliate	-	-	93.9	99.5	-	-	96.7
Southampton Centre	DEFRA	99.6	99.1	87.4	96.1	-	99.5	96.3
Southend-on- Sea	DEFRA	99.2	99.1	99.5	99.0	-	99.5	99.3
Southwark Roadside	Affiliate	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
St Osyth	DEFRA	99.8	-	98.2	99.8	-	-	99.3
Stockport Shaw Heath	Affiliate	95.8	99.5	95.5	-	-	100.0	97.7
Stockton-on- Tees Yarm	Affiliate	96.4	98.0	99.7	-	-	-	98.0
Stoke-on-	DEFRA	98.4	98.9	98.5	99.0	-	96.2	98.2
Trent Centre			-					

Site	Owner	CO	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>25</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site Average
Sunderland	DEFRA	-	-	-	-	-	98.4	98.4
Sunderland Silksworth	Affiliate	-	-	82.8	99.8	-	-	91.3
Thurrock	Affiliate	96.5	99.7	96.4	97.3	-	95.4	97.1
Tower Hamlets Roadside	Affiliate	85.8	-	40.1	-	-	-	62.9
Walsall Alumwell	DEFRA	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	100.0
Walsall Willenhall	Affiliate	-	-	88.5	-	-	-	88.5
West London	DEFRA	80.0	-	99.3	-	-	-	89.7
Weybourne	Affiliate	-	-	-	94.0	-	-	94.0
Wicken Fen	DEFRA	-	-	82.4	99.4	-	99.3	93.7
Wigan Centre	Affiliate	99.5	99.4	99.7	94.6	-	99.7	98.6
Wirral Tranmere	DEFRA	95.7	98.4	95.2	93.2	-	92.9	95.1
W'hampton Centre	DEFRA	96.6	99.3	99.4	99.5	-	99.4	98.8
Yarner Wood	DEFRA	-	-	90.5	92.5	-	-	91.5
Ireland								
Mace Head	Affiliate	-	-	-	96.0	-	-	96.0
N Ireland								
Belfast Centre	DEFRA	93.7	93.5	93.5	93.6	-	76.4	90.1
Belfast Clara St	Affiliate	-	99.4	-	-	-	-	99.4
Belfast East	DEFRA	-	-	-	-	-	99.5	99.5
Derry	Affiliate	98.3	98.4	98.1	98.4	-	77.4	94.1
Lough Navar	DEFRA	-	97.8	-	97.8	-	-	97.8
Scotland								
Aberdeen	Affiliate	99.9	97.2	96.0	99.7	-	97.1	98.0
Auchencorth Moss	DEFRA	-	0	-	100.0	-	-	49.9
Bush Estate	DEFRA	-	-	93.4	99.3	-	-	96.4
Dumfries	DEFRA	99.8	94.5	99.8	-	-	-	98.0
Edinburgh St Leonards	DEFRA	99.2	96.7	99.2	98.8	-	98.9	98.6
Eskdalemuir	DEFRA	-	-	95.5	99.6	-	-	97.6
Fort William	DEFRA	-	-	87.0	79.4	-	-	83.2
Glasgow Centre	DEFRA	99.6	99.7	87.0	99.6	-	99.6	97.1
Glasgow City Chambers	DEFRA	99.9	-	99.5	-	-	-	99.7
Glasgow Kerbside	DEFRA	99.6	94.1	90.6	-	-	-	94.8
Grangemouth	Affiliate	99.0	99.1	99.1	-	-	99.1	99.1
Inverness	DEFRA	98.9	89.0	96.1	-	-	-	94.7
Inverness PM <sub>10</sub>	DEFRA	-	88.9	-	-	-	-	88.9
Lerwick	DEFRA	-	-	-	98.9	-	-	98.9
Strath Vaich	DEFRA	-	-	-	80.2	-	-	80.2
Wales								
Aston Hill	DEFRA	-	-	94.0	98.2	-	-	96.1
Cardiff Centre	DEFRA	97.0	99.5	99.5	99.8	-	99.7	99.1
Cwmbran	Affiliate	100.0	99.8	96.7	100.0	-	19.1	83.1
Narberth	DEFRA	-	94.3	95.6	95.2	-	95.6	95.2

Site	Owner	CO	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>25</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site Average
Port Talbot	Affiliate	-	99.5	99.0	99.0	-	94.1	97.9
Swansea Roadside	Affiliate	99.6	65.7	99.6	99.5	83.9	99.6	91.3
Wrexham	DEFRA	86.5	90.1	82.7	-	-	85.2	86.1
Wrexham PM <sub>10</sub>	DEFRA	-	82.9	-	-	-	-	82.9
Number of sites		78	74	111	91	5	76	130
Number of sites < 90%		14	9	16	6	1	13	19
Network Mean (%)		93.2	94.8	93.5	96.4	95.6	91.6	94.0

Shaded boxes are for data capture < 90% Bold data captures are for critical instruments and sites

Table 5.2 shows the ratified data capture figures for the 6-month period January-June 2007.

#### Table 5.2 Ratified Network Data Statistics: January-June 2007

Network Data Capture for 01/01/2007 to 30/06/2007 from start date of any new site

Site	Owner	со	PM <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>25</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site
England								Average
Barnsley 12	DEFRA	-	-	-	-	-	92.5	92.5
Barnsley Gawber	Affiliate	90.9	-	89.2	93.6	-	89.8	90.9
Bath Roadside	Affiliate	92.0	-	97.9	-	-	-	94.9
Billingham	DEFRA	-	-	96.3	-	-	-	96.3
Birmingham Centre	DEFRA	97.6	97.2	94.9	96.5	-	84.3	94.1
Birmingham Tyburn	Affiliate	93.1	98.3	98.9	98.6	-	91.2	96.0
Blackpool Marton	DEFRA	97.6	91.1	96.6	97.3	-	91.7	94.9
Bolton	Affiliate	79.9	81.7	17.2	81.7	-	53.4	62.8
Bottesford	Affiliate	-	-	-	99.5	-	-	99.5
Bournemouth	Affiliate	98.6	98.3	95.0	98.9	-	98.6	97.9
Bradford Centre	DEFRA	94.4	92.6	70.3	94.0	-	85.4	87.3
Brentford Roadside	Affiliate	99.3	-	98.3	-	-	-	98.8
Brighton Preston	DEFRA	-	-	94.3	94.2	-	-	94.2
Park								
Brighton Roadside	Affiliate	99.2	-	98.1	-	-	-	98.6
Brighton Roadside	Affiliate	-	98.9	-	-	-	-	98.9
PM10								
Bristol Old Market	Affiliate	97.8	-	96.4	-	-	-	97.1
Bristol St Paul's	DEFRA	97.8	96.8	88.1	97.8	-	98.1	95.7
Bury Roadside	Affiliate	70.9	61.9	69.8	68.9	-	44.7	63.2
Cambridge	Affiliate	-	-	95.4	-	-	-	95.4
Roadside								
Camden Kerbside	Affiliate	-	99.4	96.7	-	-	-	98.1
Canterbury	Affiliate	-	98.9	98.9	-	-	-	98.9
Coventry Memorial	DEFRA	99.5	99.2	99.4	99.4	-	99.5	99.4
Park								
Exeter Roadside	Affiliate	98.8	-	98.8	98.8	-	98.8	98.8
Glazebury	DEFRA	-	-	95.2	47.6	-	-	71.4
Great Dun Fell	DEFRA	-	-	-	95.2	-	-	95.2
Haringey Roadside	Affiliate	-	87.0	93.3	-	-	-	90.2
Harwell	DEFRA	-	97.1	95.5	68.0	97.1	82.7	88.1

Site	Owner	СО	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>25</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site Average
High Muffles	DEFRA	-	-	97.4	98.5	-	-	98.0
Hove Roadside	Affiliate	99.4	-	95.9	-	-	99.2	98.2
Hull Freetown	DEFRA	88.9	98.3	95.2	98.5	-	97.0	95.6
Ladybower	DEFRA	-	-	80.6	97.9	-	87.5	88.6
Leamington Spa	Affiliate	98.6	99.3	47.5	98.1	-	98.0	88.3
Leeds Centre	DEFRA	98.4	99.0	98.5	98.8	-	98.5	98.6
Leicester Centre	DEFRA	99.3	76.9	99.2	99.1	-	99.3	94.8
Leominster	DEFRA	-	-	93.6	99.1	-	-	96.3
Liverpool Speke	DEFRA	98.2	97.4	96.0	98.2	-	98.1	97.6
London A3	DEFRA	96.6	97.9	96.0	-	-	-	96.8
Roadside	A.ff:1: - + -	00.0	07.0	00.0	00.1		00.0	00.7
London Bexley	Amilate	98.9	97.9	98.8	99.1	- 00 F	98.9	98.7
London Bloomsbury	DEFRA	85.7	96.7	89.5	89.2	96.5	82.2	90.0
London Brent	Affiliate	98.9	94.8	94.7	99.0	-	94.8	96.4
London Bromley		-	-	94.4	-	-	-	94.4
Road 2	DEFRA	90.2	-	95.5	-	-	93.4	95.0
London Eltham	Affiliate	-	58.0	94.7	96.6	-	86.9	84.1
London Hackney	Affiliate	99.5	-	99.5	99.5	-	-	99.5
London Haringey	Affiliate	-	-	-	70.1	-	-	70.1
London Harlington	Affiliate	94.0	65.9	89.1	84.9	-	-	83.5
London Hillingdon	DEFRA	97.4	98.0	97.4	98.0	-	97.2	97.6
London Lewisham	Affiliate	-	-	88.8	99.5	-	97.8	95.3
London Marylebone Road	Affiliate	93.4	98.2	98.7	99.2	98.7	99.1	97.9
London N. Kensington	Affiliate	99.3	98.1	99.4	94.8	-	93.7	97.1
London Southwark	Affiliate	80.9	-	98.6	98.6	-	80.8	89.7
London Teddington	Affiliate	-	-	98.3	99.0	-	81.9	93.1
London Wandsworth	Affiliate	-	-	95.1	99.2	-	-	97.1
London	DEFRA	91.1	91.7	64.6	98.7	-	89.5	87.1
I ullington Hooth				04.2	05.0		05.4	05.2
Luiington Heath Manabastar		-	-	94.2	95.9	-	95.4	95.2
Piccadilly		90.5	90.0	50.1	90.2	-	90.5	97.0
Manchester South	Affiliate	-	-	82.9	91.6	-	97.3	90.6
Manchester Town Hall	DEFRA	81.1	-	94.4	-	-	-	87.8
Market Harborough	DEFRA	97.6	-	97.5	97.7	-	-	97.6
Middlesbrough	Affiliate	93.9	98.7	98.8	97.9	-	98.8	97.6
Newcastle Centre	DEFRA	98.2	99.0	94.2	98.4	-	98.3	97.6
Northampton	Affiliate	99.5	97.8	99.5	98.9	-	99.5	99.1
Northampton PM10	Affiliate	-	80.1	-	-	-	-	80.1
Norwich Centre	DEFRA	99.3	98.5	99.2	99.2	-	82.4	95.7
Norwich Forum Boadside	Affiliate	-	-	94.3	-	-	-	94.3
Nottingham Centre	DEFRA	97.9	91.8	97.4	98.3	-	98.2	96.7
Oxford Centre	Affiliate	75.0	-	97.5	-	-	98.1	90.2
Roadside				70.0			77.0	
Plymouth Centre		61.8	77.5	70.6	//.9	-	//.8	/3.1
Portsmouth	Attiliate	98.7	97.6	98.4	98.7	-	98.5	98.4
Preston		95.1	98.2	95.0	92.9	-	94.1	95.1
Reading New Town		96.6	93.0	96.3	96.6	-	93.1	95.1
Redcar	Amilate	56.5	/8.1	82.3	11.2	-	80.6	/5.0
Rochester Stoke	Amilate	-	97.9	98.1	98.1	98.7	98.1	98.2

Site	Owner	СО	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>25</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site Average
Rotherham Centre	Affiliate	-	-	97.4	97.2	-	97.4	97.3
Salford Eccles	Affiliate	95.9	94.3	97.1	97.2	-	94.3	95.8
Sandwell West Bromwich	Affiliate	93.3	-	97.9	96.9	-	97.8	96.5
Scunthorpe Town	Affiliate	-	98.8	-	-	-	98.8	98.8
Sheffield Centre	DEFRA	92.2	97.8	90.3	92.6	-	90.5	92.7
Sheffield Tinsley	DEFRA	98.0	-	97.8	-	-	-	97.9
Sibton	DEFRA	-	-	-	96.1	-	-	96.1
Somerton	Affiliate	-	-	96.0	98.9	-	-	97.4
Southampton Centre	DEFRA	98.4	98.1	90.1	96.6	-	98.4	96.3
Southend-on-Sea	DEFRA	99.1	98.8	99.1	98.9	-	99.2	99.0
Southwark	Affiliate	0.0	-	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0
Roadside								
St Osyth	DEFRA	98.9	-	96.8	97.8	-	-	97.8
Stockport Shaw Heath	Affiliate	96.4	98.0	71.9	-	-	99.0	91.3
Stockton-on-Tees Yarm	Affiliate	97.4	97.7	99.1	-	-	-	98.1
Stoke-on-Trent Centre	DEFRA	97.9	98.2	97.7	96.1	-	94.2	96.8
Sunderland	DEFRA	-	-	-	-	-	92.8	92.8
Sunderland Silksworth	Affiliate	-	-	85.9	97.0	-	-	91.5
Thurrock	Affiliate	91.8	98.9	75.6	97.7	-	96.7	92.1
Tower Hamlets Roadside	Affiliate	92.4	-	69.5	-	-	-	80.9
Walsall Alumwell	DEFRA	-	-	99.0	-	-	-	99.0
Walsall Willenhall	Affiliate	-	-	93.9	-	-	-	93.9
West London	DEFRA	85.0	-	98.1	-	-	-	91.6
Weybourne	Affiliate	-	-	-	96.9	-	-	96.9
Wicken Fen	DEFRA	-	-	75.7	98.2	-	98.1	90.7
Wigan Centre	Affiliate	97.7	99.4	93.0	96.9	-	96.5	96.7
Wirral Tranmere	DEFRA	87.9	97.6	96.2	95.3	-	52.0	85.8
Wolverhampton	DEFRA	96.8	96.4	98.0	98.1	-	98.2	97.5
Varner Wood	DEEBA	_	_	93.2	94 5	_	_	93.8
Ireland	DEITW			50.2	54.5			30.0
Mace Head	Affiliate	-	-	-	97.1	-	-	97.1
Belfast Centre	DEFRA	95.8	95.2	95.3	95.9	-	81.5	92.7
Belfast Clara St	Affiliate	-	99.2	-	-	-	-	99.2
Belfast East	DEFRA	-	-	-	-	-	99.1	99.1
Derry	Affiliate	96.2	96.3	81.5	96.3	-	85.6	91.2
Lough Navar	DEFRA	-	97.7	-	97.7	-	-	97.7
Scotland								
Aberdeen	Affiliate	98.8	98.3	95.1	98.8	-	97.4	97.7
Auchencorth Moss	DEFRA	-	0	-	99.3	-	-	49.7
Bush Estate	DEFRA	-	-	95.5	98.4	-	-	96.9
Dumfries	DEFRA	92.2	93.9	98.5	-	-	-	94.9
Edinburgh St Leonards	DEFRA	98.7	97.1	95.9	96.7	-	98.4	97.4
Eskdalemuir	DEFRA	-	-	64.7	98.3	-	-	81.5
Fort William	DEFRA	-	-	88.4	77.0	-	-	82.7
Glasgow Centre	DEFRA	98.3	97.7	85.5	98.3	-	94.0	94.8
Glasgow City	DEFRA	99.1	-	98.5	-	-	-	98.8

Site	Owner	СО	PM <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>25</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site Average
Chambers								
Glasgow Kerbside	DEFRA	99.0	95.3	90.5	-	-	-	94.9
Grangemouth	Affiliate	98.1	98.2	98.2	-	-	98.3	98.2
Inverness	DEFRA	98.5	86.2	97.1	-	-	-	93.9
Inverness PM10	DEFRA	-	88.9	-	-	-	-	88.9
Lerwick	DEFRA	-	-	-	91.4	-	-	91.4
Strath Vaich	DEFRA	-	-	-	81.8	-	-	81.8
Wales								
Aston Hill	DEFRA	-	-	94.5	95.9	-	-	95.2
Cardiff Centre	DEFRA	95.2	92.1	97.9	98.5	-	98.3	96.4
Cwmbran	Affiliate	99.3	99.1	97.7	99.3	-	21.4	83.4
Narberth	DEFRA	-	82.8	84.0	84.0	-	84.2	83.8
Port Talbot	Affiliate	-	96.1	98.0	98.0	-	95.5	96.9
Swansea Roadside	Affiliate	98.3	66.6	98.3	97.8	86.0	98.3	90.9
Wrexham	DEFRA	92.4	92.8	88.3	-	-	91.6	91.3
Wrexham PM10	DEFRA	-	84.3	-	-	-	-	84.3

Number of sites	78	74	111	91	5	76	130
Number of sites <	12	14	26	12	1	21	27
90%							
Network Mean (%)	92.9	93.2	91.1	94.6	95.4	90.0	92.4

Shaded boxes are for data capture < 90%

Bold data captures are for critical instruments and sites

Table 5.3 shows the ratified AURN data capture for the 63 operational **critical sites** in the network for the 6-month period January-June 2007. Sites with less than 90% data capture are shaded. This table contains the overall data capture for 6 months, regardless of when sites started or finished monitoring. A total of 12 critical sites had a data capture of less than 90%.

# Table 5.3AURN Ratified Data Capture (%) for Critical Sites<br/>January to June 2007

Network Data Capture for 01/01/2007 to 30/06/2007 from start date of any new site

Site	Owner	СО	PM <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>25</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site Average
England								
Barnsley Gawber	Affiliate	90.9	-	89.2	93.6	-	89.8	90.9
Blackpool Marton	DEFRA	97.6	91.1	96.6	97.3	-	91.7	94.9
Bournemouth	Affiliate	98.6	98.3	95.0	98.9	-	98.6	97.9
Brighton Preston	DEFRA	-	-	94.3	94.2	-	-	94.2
Park								
Brighton Roadside	Affiliate	-	98.9	-	-	-	-	98.9
PM <sub>10</sub>								
Canterbury	Affiliate	-	98.9	98.9	-	-	-	98.9
Coventry Memorial	DEFRA	99.5	99.2	99.4	99.4	-	99.5	99.4
Park								
Glazebury	DEFRA	-	-	95.2	47.6	-	-	71.4
Great Dun Fell	DEFRA	-	-	-	95.2	-	-	95.2
High Muffles	DEFRA	-	-	97.4	98.5	-	-	98.0
Hove Roadside	Affiliate	99.4	-	95.9	-	-	99.2	98.2
Hull Freetown	DEFRA	88.9	98.3	95.2	98.5	-	97.0	95.6
Leamington Spa	Affiliate	98.6	99.3	47.5	98.1	-	98.0	88.3
Leicester Centre	DEFRA	99.3	76.9	99.2	99.1	-	99.3	94.8
Leominster	DEFRA	-	-	93.6	99.1	-	-	96.3

Site	Owner	СО	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>25</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site Average
Liverpool Speke	DEFRA	98.2	97.4	96.0	98.2	-	98.1	97.6
Newcastle Centre	DEFRA	98.2	99.0	94.2	98.4	-	98.3	97.6
Northampton	Affiliate	99.5	97.8	99.5	98.9	-	99.5	99.1
Northampton PM <sub>10</sub>	Affiliate	-	80.1	-	-	-	-	80.1
Norwich Centre	DEFRA	99.3	98.5	99.2	99.2	-	82.4	95.7
Nottingham Centre	DEFRA	97.9	91.8	97.4	98.3	-	98.2	96.7
Oxford Centre Roadside	Affiliate	75.0	-	97.5	-	-	98.1	90.2
Plymouth Centre	DEFRA	61.8	77.5	70.6	77.9	-	77.8	73.1
Portsmouth	Affiliate	98.7	97.6	98.4	98.7	-	98.5	98.4
Preston	DEFRA	95.1	98.2	95.0	92.9	-	94.1	95.1
Reading New Town	DEFRA	96.6	93.0	96.3	96.6	-	93.1	95.1
Scunthorpe Town	Affiliate	-	98.8	-	-	-	98.8	98.8
Sheffield Centre	DEFRA	92.2	97.8	90.3	92.6	-	90.5	92.7
Sibton	DEFRA	-	-	-	96.1	-	-	96.1
Somerton	Affiliate	-	-	96.0	98.9	-	-	97.4
Southampton Centre	DEFRA	98.4	98.1	90.1	96.6	-	98.4	96.3
Southend-on-Sea	DEFRA	99.1	98.8	99.1	98.9	-	99.2	99.0
St Osyth	DEFRA	98.9	-	96.8	97.8	-	-	97.8
Stockton-on-Tees Yarm	Affiliate	97.4	97.7	99.1	-	-	-	98.1
Stoke-on-Trent Centre	DEFRA	97.9	98.2	97.7	96.1	-	94.2	96.8
Sunderland	DEFRA	-	-	-	-	-	92.8	92.8
Sunderland Silksworth	Affiliate	-	-	85.9	97.0	-	-	91.5
Thurrock	Affiliate	91.8	98.9	75.6	97.7	-	96.7	92.1
Wicken Fen	DEFRA	-	-	75.7	98.2	-	98.1	90.7
Wigan Centre	Affiliate	97.7	99.4	93.0	96.9	-	96.5	96.7
Wirral Tranmere	DEFRA	87.9	97.6	96.2	95.3	-	52.0	85.8
Yarner Wood	DEFRA	-	-	93.2	94.5	-	-	93.8
N Ireland								
Belfast Centre	DEFRA	95.8	95.2	95.3	95.9	-	81.5	92.7
Derry	Affiliate	96.2	96.3	81.5	96.3	-	85.6	91.2
Lough Navar	DEFRA	-	97.7	-	97.7	-	-	97.7
Scotland								
Aberdeen	Affiliate	98.8	98.3	95.1	98.8	-	97.4	97.7
Bush Estate	DEFRA	-	-	95.5	98.4	-	-	96.9
Dumfries	DEFRA	92.2	93.9	98.5	-	-	-	94.9
Edinburgh St Leonards	DEFRA	98.7	97.1	95.9	96.7	-	98.4	97.4
Eskdalemuir	DEFRA	-	-	64.7	98.3	-	-	81.5
Fort William	DEFRA	-	-	88.4	77.0	-	-	82.7
Glasgow Centre	DEFRA	98.3	97.7	85.5	98.3	-	94.0	94.8
Grangemouth	Affiliate	98.1	98.2	98.2	-	-	98.3	98.2
Inverness	DEFRA	98.5	86.2	97.1	-	-	-	93.9
Inverness PM <sub>10</sub>	DEFRA	-	88.9	-	-	-	-	88.9
Strath Vaich	DEFRA	-	-	-	81.8	-	-	81.8
Wales								
Aston Hill	DEFRA	-	-	94.5	95.9	-	-	95.2
Cardiff Centre	DEFRA	95.2	92.1	97.9	98.5	-	98.3	96.4
Cwmbran	Affiliate	99.3	99.1	97.7	99.3	-	21.4	83.4
Narberth	DEFRA	-	82.8	84.0	84.0	-	84.2	83.8
Swansea	Affiliate	98.3	66.6	98.3	97.8	86.0	98.3	90.9

Site	Owner	СО	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>25</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	Site Average
Roadside								
Wrexham	DEFRA	92.4	92.8	88.3	-	-	91.6	91.3
Wrexham PM <sub>10</sub>	DEFRA	-	84.3	-	-	-	-	84.3

Shaded boxes are for data capture < 90%

Bold data captures are for critical instruments and sites

#### RECOMMENDATION

Every effort should be made to ensure that data capture is maximised for the critical sites. LSOs and ESUs should undertake call-outs and repairs as soon as possible to avoid unnecessary data loss at these sites.

# Appendices

Appendix A1: Recommendations for Upgrade or Replacement of Equipment

Appendix A2: Critical Sites in the AURN (January 2007)

Appendix A3: Inventory of Defra-Owned Equipment

Appendix A4: Summary of Recommendations

Appendix A5: Partisol Data Ratification Report

#### **Recommendations for Upgrade or Replacement of Equipment**

As requested by the Department, QA/QC Unit has provided a list of suggestions for equipment that may need replacing or upgrading in the network. The following provides a summary of the outstanding issues to date since July 2005. Recommendations have been prioritised as follows:

Priority	Definition	Time-scale
High	Immediate action necessary to avoid compromising data capture/quality or safety. Critical sites should be treated as high priority.	Within 2 weeks
Medium	Essential but not immediate	3-6 months
Low	Desirable but not essential	As appropriate

<sup>\*</sup>Note – QA/QC Unit's practice is to notify CMCU immediately of any high priority issues at the time of the event.

	Recommendations August 2007	Priority	Action
23	The Northampton PM <sub>10</sub> Partisol analyser shows significant	Medium	ESU/CMCU
	data loss as a result of faults, and should be repaired or		
	replaced as appropriate		
	Recommendations April 2007		
22	Safe roof access needs to be provided for sites where FDMS TEOMs are to be deployed	High	ESU/CMCU
	Recommendations January 2007		
22	ESUs to ensure all NOx converter software settings to be 100%. The Bolton NOx analyser was found to be set to 90% in September 2007	High	ESUs to check at service
	Recommendations October 2006		
20	The poorly performing analyser at Bolton (NOx) should be repaired or replaced at the earliest opportunity-see Action 22	High	ESUs to repair or replace as appropriate
	Recommendations July 2006		
19	Weybourne $O_3$ analyser should be upgraded to allow monthly LSO calibrations and daily autocalibrations	Medium	ESU to provide CMCU with quotation for necessary work
	Recommendations April 2006		
	None		
	Recommendations January 2006		
17	The performance of CO analysers needs close attention by all parties, and poorly performing analysers replaced or upgraded	High	LSOs and CMCU to check performance carefully; ESU's to action repairs promptly
	Recommendations July 2005		
13	Continuing problems with some autocal run-ons causing loss of up to 2 hours per day-see Section 2.4	High	Many sites now cured, but some need attention at next ESU visit

#### Critical Sites In The AURN (January 2007)

### Table A1 Critical Sites in Agglomerations

Site Name	Agglomeration	<b>Critical Pol</b>	lutants	
		DD1	DD2 <sup>7</sup>	DD3
Belfast Centre	Belfast Urban Area	NO <sub>2</sub>	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Blackpool Marton	Blackpool Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Bournemouth+	Bournemouth Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Brighton Preston Park	Brighton/Worthing/Littlehampton			NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Brighton Roadside PM <sub>10</sub>	Brighton/Worthing/Littlehampton	PM <sub>10</sub>		
Bristol St Pauls	Bristol Urban Area	PM <sub>10</sub> SO <sub>2</sub>		NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Cardiff Centre	Cardiff Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Coventry Memorial Park+	Coventry/Bedworth	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Edinburgh St Leonards	Edinburgh Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	со	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Glasgow Centre	Glasgow Urban Area	SO <sub>2</sub>		NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Hove Roadside+	Brighton/Worthing/Littlehampton	SO <sub>2</sub>		
Hull Freetown	Kingston upon Hull	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Leicester Centre	Leicester Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Liverpool Speke	Liverpool Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	CO	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Newcastle Centre	Tyneside	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Nottingham Centre	Nottingham Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Portsmouth+	Portsmouth Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Preston	Preston Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Reading New Town	Reading/Wokingham Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	со	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Sheffield Centre	Sheffield Urban Area	PM <sub>10</sub>		
Southampton Centre	Southampton Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Southend-on-Sea	Southend Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Stoke-on-Trent Centre	The Potteries	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	СО	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Swansea Roadside+	Swansea Urban Area		СО	
Wirral Tranmere	Birkenhead Urban Area	$NO_2 PM_{10} SO_2$	CO	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>

"+ indicates Affiliate site"

Note 7: Addresses CO, Benzene not included here

Site Name	Zone	Critical Pollutant		
		DD1	DD2 <sup>7</sup>	DD3
Aberdeen+	North East Scotland	NO <sub>2</sub> PM <sub>10</sub> SO <sub>2</sub>	CO	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Aston Hill	North Wales			$NO_2 O_3$
Barnsley Gawber+	Yorkshire & Humberside	NO <sub>2</sub>	CO	$NO_2 O_3$
Bush Estate	Central Scotland	_		NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Canterbury+	South East	PM <sub>10</sub>		-
Cwmbran+	South Wales	NO <sub>2</sub> PM <sub>10</sub> SO <sub>2</sub>	CO	$NO_2 O_3$
Derry+	Northern Ireland	NO <sub>2</sub> PM <sub>10</sub> SO <sub>2</sub>	CO	$NO_2 O_3$
Dumfries	Scottish Borders	NO <sub>2</sub> PM <sub>10</sub>	CO	
Eskdalemuir	Scottish Borders			$NO_2 O_3$
Fort William	Highland			$NO_2 O_3$
Glazebury	North West & Merseyside			$NO_2O_3$
Grangemouth+	Central Scotland	NO <sub>2</sub> PM <sub>10</sub> SO <sub>2</sub>	CO	
Great Dun Fell	North West & Merseyside			$O_{3}^{3}$
High Muffles	Yorkshire & Humberside			$NO_2O_3$
Inverness	Highland	NO <sub>2</sub> PM <sub>10</sub>		
Leamington Spa+	West Midlands	PM <sub>10</sub> SO <sub>2</sub>	CO	$NO_2 O_3$
Leominster	West Midlands			$NO_2 O_3$
Lough Navar	Northern Ireland			O <sub>3</sub> <sup>3</sup>
Narberth	South Wales			$O_3^3$
Northampton+	East Midlands	NO <sub>2</sub> PM <sub>10</sub> SO <sub>2</sub>	CO	NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Northampton PM <sub>10</sub>	East Midlands	PM <sub>10</sub>		
Norwich Centre	Eastern			NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Oxford Centre Roadside+	South East	SO <sub>2</sub>	CO	
Plymouth Centre	South West	PM <sub>10</sub>		
Scunthorpe Town+	Yorkshire & Humberside	PM <sub>10</sub>		
Sibton	Eastern			$O_{3}^{3}$
Somerton	South West			NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
St Osyth	Eastern			NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Stockton-on-Tees Yarm+	North East	NO <sub>2</sub> PM <sub>10</sub>	CO	
Strath Vaich	Highland			$O_{3}^{3}$
Sunderland	North East	SO <sub>2</sub>		
Sunderland Silkworth+	North East			$NO_2 O_3$
Thurrock	Eastern			$NO_2 O_3$
Wicken Fen	Eastern			NO <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>
Wigan Centre <sup>+</sup>	North West & Merseyside	NO <sub>2</sub> PM <sub>10</sub> SO <sub>2</sub>	CO	$NO_2 O_3$
Wrexham	North Wales	NO <sub>2</sub> PM <sub>10</sub> SO <sub>2</sub>	CO	
Yarner Wood	South West			$NO_2 O_3$

### Table A2 Critical Sites in Zones

Total of 62 Critical Sites (25 in Agglomerations and 37 in Zones) 51% of network stations critical under one or more Daughter Directives

"+ indicates Affiliate site"

Note 3: DD3 Critical as Rural Background station Note 7: Addresses CO, Benzene not included here

### Inventory of Defra owned Equipment

An up-to-date inventory of Department-owned equipment used by the QA/QC Unit is provided below:

O a manual stand	The LUC (Learning in the information Constant) as the same suite was all family also
Computer	The HIS (Heuristic Information System) software suite used for all data
software	management. A few specific capabilities of HIS were developed in order to
	meet specific Department deliverables or requirements (examples include
	software for annual report analysis/compilation, for formatting/transmitting
	network data to archive or DDU and for reporting Directive compliance data to
	the EC).
Field support	Field support equipment: 1 intercalibration equipment set (includes mass flow
equipment	controllers and read-out unit)
	A second intercalibration (commissioned January 2001)
	UV photometers:
	API model M401 s/n 123- purchased April 1999
	API model 401 s/n 151 - purchased October 2000
	API model 401 s/n 176 – purchased December 2002
	API model 401 s/n 290 – purchased May 2004
	API model $401 \text{ s/n} 291 - \text{purchased May 2004}$
	API model 401 s/n 292 purchased May 2004
	API model 401 s/n 293 purchased May 2004
	Mass flow controllers - nurchased April 2002 (incorporated into existing audit
	dilution apparatus)
	2 Drycal flow maters - purchased September 2002
	1 Mass flow controller read out unit to be incorporated in the audit dilution
	n Mass now controller read-out unit to be incorporated in the audit dilution
	A third intervalibration kit (commissioned May 2004)
	A third intercalibration kit (commissioned May 2004)
	Drycal flow meter – purchased March 2004
	Sabio 2010 dilution calibrator – purchased February 2005
	Sabio 2020 zero air generator – purchased February 2005
	Sabio 2030 ozone photometer – purchased February 2005
	Sabio 2010 dilution calibrator – purchased June 2006
	Sabio 2020 zero air generator – purchased June 2006
	Sabio 2030 ozone photometer – purchased June 2006
Zero air pumps	6 spare zero air pumps for routine maintenance/repair of zero air generators in
	the AURN.
Analysers	AC31 dual chamber NO <sub>x</sub> analyser
	TEI 43C SO <sub>2</sub> analyser
	TEI 48C CO analyser
	M265 chemiluminescent ozone analyser
	(All of the above purchased on behalf of Defra by Casella Stanger in March
	2003 and transferred to QA/QC Unit)

QA/QC Unit's inventory of Department-owned equipment, August 2007

## Summary of recommendations

This appendix provides a summary of all the recommendations given in this report.

	Need	Recommendation	Section	FAO
1	Improve data capture at critical sites	LSOs and ESUs should undertake call- outs as soon as possible at these sites	2.1 and 5	LSOs and ESUs
2	Data loss at Northampton (Partisol)	The Northampton PM10 Partisol analyser shows significant data loss as a result of faults, and should be repaired or replaced as appropriate	2.2	ESU
3	Autocalibration run-on	ESU to investigate and minimise effect where possible, especially at sites with large autocalibration run-ons or where data loss is in excess of 1 hour. London Southwark (CO and SO <sub>2</sub> and Eskdalemuir (NOx), should be prioritised as at least 2 hours per day are being lost at these sites.	2.3	ESUs
		In the meantime, we recommend that the autocalibration devices be adjusted at the problem sites to reduce the concentration of the span gas. It is strongly advised that $NO_2$ autocalibration span concentrations of less than 200ppb (urban sites) and 100ppb (rural sites) are used throughout the network.		
4	Poor performance of analysers-see Section 3.6	QA/QC Unit would like to seek clarification from the Equipment Support Unit/manufacturer as to the current situation regarding the reason for the problems and what plans are in place to resolve them. We recommend that immediate attention is given to this issue as the majority of these instruments are located at critical sites.	3.4	ESU

### **Partisol Data Ratification**

Partisol data were ratified for the following sites and measurement periods.

Site	Start date	End date	Ratified Data Capture,
			%
Auchencorth Moss PM <sub>10</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> April	30 <sup>th</sup> June	0%
Auchencorth Moss	1 <sup>st</sup> April	30 <sup>th</sup> June	99%
PM <sub>2.5</sub>			
Bournemouth PM <sub>10</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> April	30 <sup>th</sup> June	100%
Brighton Roadside PM <sub>10</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> April	30 <sup>th</sup> June	100%
Dumfries PM <sub>10</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> April	30 <sup>th</sup> June	95%
Inverness PM <sub>10</sub>	1 <sup>st</sup> April	30 <sup>th</sup> June	89%
London Westminster	1 <sup>st</sup> April	30 <sup>th</sup> June	100%
Northampton	1 <sup>st</sup> April	30 <sup>th</sup> June	90%
Wrexham	1 <sup>st</sup> April	30 <sup>th</sup> June	91%

Measured data and ambient concentrations are supplied by Bureau Veritas. Data are now ratified using the Foxpro-based HIS system. The ratification process includes checking of BV's calculated ambient  $PM_{10}$  concentration. It is noted that BV now carry out more detailed checks on the data, including checking for matching of filter numbers, dates and weights, also comparison of data with that from other nearby sites.

#### **Data Rejection**

Data codes are recorded during ambient measurement, and filter faults are recorded during filter weighings. Some codes indicate a fatal fault and are used to automatically reject data during ratification.

#### Measurement codes are shown below.

The measurement codes reported by BV are as follows:

New	Meaning	Reject
Code		
0	OK	No
8	Power Failure	Yes
4	System re-set	Only if < 18h data.
10	Flow 1 out of range	Yes
20	Flow 2 out of range	Yes
40	Flow 3 out of range	Yes
2000	Difference between ambient T and filter T > +5°C	No
10000	Elapsed sample period out of range/out of filters	Reject if < 18h data.
40000	Coefficient of variation of average flow too	If not caused by "audit" status e.g. inlet
	high (i.e. too much variation in flow)	cleaning. Or if < 18h data.
100000	Elapsed Sample Period out of range (< 23 hours or >25 hours).	Reject if < 18h data.

102000	Difference between ambient T and filter T > $\pm 5^{\circ}$ C, causing Elapsed Sample Period out of range (< 23 hours or >25 hours).	Reject only if < 18h valid data or vol < 18 m3.
100008	Elapsed Sample Period out of range (< 23 hours or >25 hours), and Power Failure.	Yes (power failure)

The following faults should also be recorded during filter weighings and should be indicated by BV in their spreadsheet under "Lab Comments". All are fatal except "filter inverted".

#### Filter faults

Filter exposed inverted
Filter cut inside edge
Filter damaged some missing
Filter appears unexposed
Filter not returned
Filter inverted and in reverse order in canister

All sites are now on telemetry.

#### **Auchencorth Moss**

Concerns had been raised previously that the  $PM_{10}$  was sometimes less than  $PM_{2.5}$  data. It was discovered that the PM10 Partisol had been fitted with a PM2.5 sharp cut cyclone. This was replaced with a straight-through tube on 13 August.

PM<sub>10</sub>: Data capture was 0%.

PM<sub>2.5</sub>: Data capture 99%.

#### Bournemouth

Data capture in this guarter was 100%. A PM<sub>10</sub> episode occurred from 12<sup>th</sup> – 16<sup>th</sup> April, with concentrations above 50µg m<sup>-3</sup>, reaching a maximum of 94µg m<sup>-3</sup> on 13<sup>th</sup> April.

#### **Brighton Roadside**

Data capture in this quarter was 100%.

This site also recorded a PM<sub>10</sub> episode from  $12^{th} - 16^{th}$  April, with concentrations above 50µg m<sup>-3</sup>, reaching a maximum of 82µg m<sup>-3</sup> on 14<sup>th</sup> April.

#### **Dumfries**

PM<sub>10</sub>: Data capture was 95%. Data losses:

- 8<sup>th</sup> May < 18h sampling.</li>
  13<sup>th</sup> & 14<sup>th</sup> May PM<sub>2.5</sub> > PM<sub>10</sub>. Rejected by BV.
  26<sup>th</sup> May: suspiciously high PM10 of 58µg m<sup>-3</sup>. Rejected.
- 27<sup>th</sup> May: negative filter weight.

Relatively high  $PM_{10}$  concentrations (> 50µg m<sup>-3</sup>) recorded on  $13^{th} - 15^{th}$  Apr.

#### Inverness

**PM**<sub>10</sub>

Data capture 89%. Data losses as follows:

- 10<sup>th</sup> Apr power failure. < 18h sampling.
- •
- 11<sup>th</sup> Apr < 18h sampling 17<sup>th</sup> 19<sup>th</sup> Apr filter exchange failure •
- 26<sup>th</sup> 27<sup>th</sup> Apr: < 18h sampling •
- 1<sup>st</sup> May: < 18h sampling •
- 13<sup>th</sup> May: negative filter weight •
- 14<sup>th</sup> May: missing filter weight •

This Partisol continues to have a lot of P & R1 status codes, although none cause data loss.

#### London Westminster

Data capture 100%. This Partisol continues to have a lot of P & R1 status codes, although none cause data loss.

#### Northampton

Data capture 90%. Data losses –

- 20<sup>th</sup> –24<sup>th</sup> Apr , 1<sup>st</sup> Jun, 14<sup>th</sup> Jun: filter exchange failures
- 18<sup>th</sup> & 31<sup>st</sup> May no reason given, would appear to be more filter exchange failures

Note: for the  $3^{rd}$  consecutive quarter, this Partisol has been having lots of filter exchange failures. A lot of data is being lost at this site, and this Partisol needs attention.

Some high  $PM_{10}$  concentrations were observed in the late March episode, with a peak of 107µg m<sup>-3</sup> on 29<sup>th</sup> March.

#### Wrexham

Data capture was 91%. Data losses as follows:

• 5<sup>th</sup> – 12<sup>th</sup> April, 29<sup>th</sup> May: power interruptions.

Most sites this quarter achieved at least 90% data capture. However, Inverness and Northampton continue to have problems. In particular, the filter exchange problems at Northampton, reported in previous 2 sets of ratification notes, continue and have caused significant loss of data.